



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - PASTOR TOM UNMACHT, THE LAKES LUTHERAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK (excused from the afternoon session at 2:12 p.m.)

Also Present: ACTING CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-1

PASTOR TOM UNMACHT, The Lakes Lutheran Church, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-22

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:07 – 9:09)

City of Las Vegas

1-80

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Ceremonial

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD announced that **FREDDIE GLUSMAN**, who was selected as Citizen of the Month at the 8/7/2002 Council meeting but could not be present due to illness, came through again for the City. He helped the City raise an additional \$2,500 when he heard that the City was running short on supplies during the Back-To-School event at Meadows School, so that the children would not go without school supplies.

MR. GLUSMAN thanked the City Council for honoring him and said that Las Vegas is his favorite city.

(9:09 – 9:11)

1-119

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, ELGIN WILLIAMS, Leisure Field Supervisor, PHYLLIS MCFADDEN, Recreation Leader II, and BILLIE BASTIAN of Leisure Services to join him in recognizing SUE BARTLING, Leisure Services Coordinator, as Employee of the Month for August. MS. BARTLING has been with the City since 1984 and has exhibited leadership and commitment in her assignments. She is currently the coordinator of the Mirabelli Community Center and is an example of dedication, respect, and integrity. She is a professional and a dynamic motivator who coordinates a large number of special events and projects. She makes sure that each citizen has access to top quality recreational programming and is always looking for ways to save money and maximize the use of available resources.

MS. BARTLING has worked with the local Boy Scouts of America and has coordinated the donation and installation of a wrought iron entrance gate, four landscaping projects, the construction of a 75-square-foot children's playhouse, the donation and installation of an acoustical wall covering in two children's activity rooms and the construction and installation of shelving units in two programming areas. All of these efforts have saved the city over \$12,000 in the material costs alone and does not include the 2,250 man hours donated by the Scouts, their families, and their friends.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. BARTLING has increased the center's programming and revenue and has also motivated community members to get involved. She has also collaborated with families who have made donations of money and equipment to improve the facility and its programs.

DR. JACKSON stated that MS. BARTLING is an exemplary employee for all recreation professionals. She has continually brought the Mirabelli Center to new heights. The Center is always operating in the black financially. She is also a true leader and a motivator of her staff and has continued to bring generations of people back to the Center.

MR. WILLIAMS indicated that MS. BARTLING makes his job as a supervisor very easy. He never has to visit the Center. She is always polite to everyone and is probably the most inspiring employee he supervises. He thanked her for her wonderful work.

MS. BARTLING was honored to receive so many compliments. She said that she is able to do her job so well because she loves it and the people that work with her. They are wonderful team players that enjoy helping the customers.

(9:11 – 9:16)

1-190

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF PLANNING COMMISSIONER MICHAEL BUCKLEY

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK recognized former Planning Commission member MICHAEL BUCKLEY for his eight years of service. During that time the wards increased from four to six and the population of the City of Las Vegas increased by 68%. These increases also affected the number of items considered by the Planning Commission. When COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY was appointed, the Planning Commission heard an average of 88 items each month. They now consider an average of 100 items each month with most meetings going until 1:00 a.m. or later.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, thanked COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY for the professionalism and dedication he brought to the Planning Commission and, in particular, for the calmness he exuded at the meetings. Not only did the commissioners spend many hours at the meetings, but they also devoted many of their private hours viewing the various properties in order to ensure that they had a better understanding of the issues appearing before the Planning Commission and being considered in meetings to draft ordinances. COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY dedicated a lot of his personal time as a member of the Centennial Hills Committee and in assisting with the sign code. It was a pleasure to be able to sit next to him for the past two years.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Planning Commissioner Michael Buckley

MINUTES – Continued:

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY thanked the staff members of the Planning and Development Department for all their assistance, for they are very dedicated employees. He also thanked the Council members, in particular COUNCILMEN REESE and BROWN, for teaching him so much when they sat on the Planning Commission together. He also thanked COUNCILMAN MACK for adopting him when the Councilman came on board the Council. It was a pleasure for him to serve.

(9:16 – 9:21)

1-333

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

SPECIAL PRESENTATION TO ENTERTAINER LOUIE LOUIE

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK made a special Key to the City presentation to entertainer LOUIE LOUIE (real name Louie Cordero), who in 1998 was honored by GOVERNOR BOB MILLER by declaring the month of July as Louie Louie month. LOUIE LOUIE grew up in Southern California with two brothers and three sisters, writing songs about his observations of life. When he was 15 years old, he started entertaining and performing live.

COUNCILMAN MACK, on behalf of the City of Las Vegas, felt proud to honor LOUIE LOUIE for donating his time toward a fundraiser to benefit the 2001 victims and the New York firefighters, and for many other fundraisers, including the Juvenile Diabetes Foundation.

LOUIE LOUIE thanked the City Council for this special presentation and gave special thanks to the people in the audience that helped bring him to Las Vegas. He has never been happier than since he came to live in Las Vegas.

(9:21 – 9:24)

1-489

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF TAEKWONDO SCHOOLS AND TEACHERS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN called up the following Karate instructors to assist him in making this presentation: DAVID BERRY, MARK BIRD, MARK TWILLIGEAR, and JUSTIN KOBIELSKY. COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that MR. BERRY, who has been a Taekwondo instructor for more than 17 years, is the owner of the David Berry's Black Belt Academy and Karate For Kids and is the only 6th degree black belt in the State of Nevada. The instructors are being honored at the request of one of the parents whose son attends the Academy for not only what they do as instructors, but also for all the things they do beyond instructions.

MR. BERRY stated that the school was established in 1985, and now there are 12 schools in Las Vegas. Their motto is to change children's lives in a positive manner. He has been fortunate enough to be able to practice that motto for over 17 years. He thanked the Council members for their support.

Karate students RILEY, CODY, CARMEL, and SHELBY introduced themselves at the request of COUNCILMAN BROWN, who then introduced Karate champions ROBBIE DELGADO and KAITLIN BRANTON. MR. DELGADO is ten years old and is a second-degree black belt. He is the Nevada State Champion for 2001 and 2002 in Forms and Weapons and is also ranked as No. 5 in Forms as a World Champion. MR. DELGADO'S current world championship standing is No. 1 in Forms and Weapons and No. 7 for Sparring. His awesome performance uses a weapon called ssahng jeol bong, which is the Korean word for nunchuckas, more commonly pronounced as numb chucks.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Ceremonial
Recognition of Taekwondo Schools and Teachers

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. BRANTON is nine years old and a second degree black belt. She is a Nevada State Champion for 2001 and 2002 in Weapons. Her 2001 World Championship standing was No. 9 in Forms and Sparring and No. 3 in Weapons. Her current World Championship standing is No. 4 in Weapons, No. 7 in Forms, and No. 8 in Sparring. MR. DELGADO AND MS. BRANTON gave individual presentations in forms and weapons.

(9:24 – 9:32)

1-585

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF JARROD WALTERS FOR NATIONAL RECORD AT THE JUNIOR OLYMPICS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Video clip show but not submitted

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN said that this ceremonial item is very special to him because it gave him the opportunity to recognize JARROD WALTERS, the son of MARK WALTERS, City of Las Vegas Field Supervisor, who works in Leisure Services. He also had the opportunity to coach JARROD when he played Little League Baseball. Anyone who has ever coached any sport knows when a gifted child comes along, and that is exactly what he saw in Jarrod. Not only is he a gifted athlete, but also a smart student with a 4.0 grade average and a very nice young man who always gave respect to his coaches, parents, and teammates. After coaching him for two years, Jarrod quit baseball and pursued track and field, excelling in the Javelin throw.

After having a video clip shown of JARROD throwing the Javelin, COUNCILMAN BROWN called JARROD'S Javelin Coach, RYAN THERIAULT, to come forward. COUNCILMAN BROWN then went over some of Jarrod's accomplishments. He took first place in Nevada's Hershey Track and Field Competition Softball Throw and qualified in June 2002 for the Junior Olympics with a 127-foot Javelin throw. When he attended the Junior Olympics, he actually set the record with a 170-foot throw and then proceeded to surpass his own record with a 175-foot throw. Because of this he was invited to the World Youth Games in Sherbrooke, Canada, in July of 2003. JARROD is also a quarterback for the

City of Las Vegas

Centennial football team and intends to compete in the 2003 Junior Olympics. This community certainly has a lot to be proud of when it comes to Jarrod.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Jarrod Walters for National Record at the Junior Olympics

MINUTES – Continued:

JARROD thanked Coach Theriault and his dad for supporting him. He also thanked the Council members, especially COUNCILMAN BROWN for teaching him how to throw.

COACH THERIAULT concurred with Councilman Brown that Jarrod is a very special and gifted athlete and young man. There are many more great things to see from JARROD.

MR. WALTERS pointed out that Coach Theriault still holds the Junior Olympic record in the pentathlon. He expressed his appreciation to Coach Theriault for all his efforts in coaching JARROD. With great emotion, MR. WALTERS said that his son is very self-motivated and that is why he gets good grades and does well in sports. He told JARROD that he is very proud of him.

(9:32 – 9:38)

1-85

MINUTES:

Also, COUNCILMAN BROWN had a video clip, which was not submitted for the record, showing MAYOR GOODMAN pitching at the recent Las Vegas 51's baseball game where bobblehead dolls of the Mayor were given out.

(9:38 – 9:40)

1-1085

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 77 to 9/18/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:40 – 9:42)

1-1155

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of July 17, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42)

1-1201

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of payment of judgment in Jaynes Corporation and Western Diversified Electric v. City of Las Vegas (Case #02-A-449567-C)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$130,672

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Self Insurance Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Western Diversified Electric has filed a Notice of Claim (CL 2003-15) with the City Clerk requesting payment from the City in the amount of \$130,672. The claim is based upon the judgment entered against the City by the Eighth Judicial District Court, the details of which are set forth in the Agenda Memo.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve payment of the judgment in the amount of \$130,672.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Notice of Claim

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a Super Pawn shop near St. Louis and Las Vegas Boulevard, which is the vicinity involved in both Items 55 and 56, he would be voting on those two matters because there will not be any impact to his brother's business and he does not believe there is any conflict.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that they be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)
1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS

DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an agreement with Nevada Power Company for innerconnection and operating services at the West Service Center - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement is between the City of Las Vegas and Nevada Power whereas the city plans on installing a 50kW fuel cell and interconnecting it to Nevada Power's system. This agreement defines the continuing rights, responsibilities and obligations of the city and Nevada Power with respect to the maintenance and use of property, assets and facilities.

This fuel cell is required to support the Northwest H/CNG site.

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve an agreement with the Nevada Power for innerconnection and operating services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interconnection and Operating Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$46,019,693.35

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 07/01/02 - 07/16/02

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	18,539,194.05
Total Payroll Checks	\$	5,126,872.85
Total Wire Transfers	\$	22,353,626.45
Total NBS & City Investments		0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a report by the City Treasurer of the July 30, 2002 sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in SID Districts 404 and 707 (Summerlin Area) - Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.565 states that within 15 days after the completion of the sale of all property described in the assessment roll upon which a delinquent assessment or installment is unpaid, the municipal treasurer shall prepare a statement of his actions concerning the sale, showing all the property sold by him, to whom sold and the sums paid for each tract. Such report shall be presented to the governing body at its regular meeting next following the preparation of the statement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the written report.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Report of Sale Memorandum from Michael K. Olson, City Treasurer, dated August 1, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Geneva Boley, dba Geneva Boley, 2413 Howard Drive, Geneva Boley, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Deana Foster, dba Deana Foster, 5225 Meadow Rock Ave., Deana Foster, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Jamie Garrett, dba Jamie Garrett, 8729 Isola Drive, Jamie Garrett, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Daniece Kern, dba Daniece Kern, 7310 Platinum Creek Street, Daniece Kern, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Lisa McIntyre, dba Bright Beginnings, 9100 Teal Lake Court, Lisa McIntyre, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Snjezana "Susan" Mustafic, dba Snjezana "Susan" Mustafic, 7658 Rainbow Cove Drive, Snjezana "Susan" Mustafic, 100% - Ward 5 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Melinda Scheer, dba Melinda Scheer, 7613 Nocturne Court, Melinda Scheer, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License, Calvary Church Child Care, 2929 Cedar Ave., From: Donald Polsaski, Administrator, Licensee/License Holder, To: Douglas Oliverius, Church Administrator, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Preschool License, First Christian Child Care, 101 South Rancho Drive, From: Larry Hansmeier, Reverend, Licensee/License Holder, To: Nancy Adams, Exec Bd Mmbr, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Derfelt Senior Citizens Center, Location: Sammy Davis, Jr. Festival Plaza Lorenzi Park, 3333 West Washington Ave., Date: September 26, 2002, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Type: 10th Annual Senior Blue Moon Ball, Responsible Person in Charge: Amy Solich - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Gloria Pulido, dba Drinks "R" Us Liquor Catering, 4250 East Bonanza Road, Suite 12, Gloria M. Pulido, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License subject to Health Dept. regulations, At Your Service Catering, Inc., dba At Your Service Catering, Inc., 633 North Decatur Blvd., Suites C & D, Kathleen F. DePatta, Dir, Pres, Treas, 51%, Paul L. DePatta, Dir, Secy, 49% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Howard Hughes Corporation, dba Tournament Players Club at Summerlin, Daniel C. Van Epp, Pres, Michael S. Davis, Gen Mgr, To: Tournament Players Club at Summerlin, Inc., dba Tournament Players Club at Summerlin, 1700 Village Center Circle, James C. Triola, Pres, Steven A. Winsor, Secy, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, From: ABCK Enterprises, Hector Camacho, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: La Palapa Restaurant #3, dba La Palapa Restaurant #3, 1515 North Eastern Ave., Crescencio O. Orozco, Ptnr, 33.34%, Bonifacio O. Orozco, Ptnr, 33.33%, Genaro O. Orozco, Ptnr, 33.33% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License, Tournament Players Club at Summerlin, Inc., dba Tournament Players Club at the Canyons, 9851 Canyon Run Drive, James C. Triola, Pres, Steven A. Winsor, Secy, Treas - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License, Golden Wok Restaurant, Inc., dba Golden Wok, 504 South Decatur Blvd., Hong H. Lei, Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License, Emerald Gardens, LLC, dba Emerald Gardens, 891 South Rampart Blvd., Linda K. Kutcher, Dir of Ops - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Locksmith License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Moses and Moses, dba Charlie's Lock & Key, 232 North Jones Blvd., #186, Charles M. Moses and Susan M. Moses, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Carey L. Washington, dba Precision Pain Relief, 9300 Sun City Blvd., Suite 101, Carey L. Washington, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Irenke Fodor-Wilson, dba Irenke's Hair & Nail Studio, 6711 West Alexander Road, #105, Irenke Fodor-Wilson, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Psychic Art and Science License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Matthew Name, dba Matthew Name, From: 6848 West Charleston Blvd., To: 101 South Rainbow Blvd., #21, Matthew J. Name, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Psychic Art and Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020085-DAR, Open End Contract for Six (6) 15-yard Dump Trucks - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: TRUCK CENTER OF NEVADA (\$544,590 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$544,590

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of six (6) 15-yard dump trucks to replace five (5) vehicles beyond economical repair and to add one (1) vehicle to the fleet.

POC: Larry Ogletree - (702) 649-4256

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020085-DAR, Open End Contract for Six (6) 15-yard Dump Trucks to Truck Center of Nevada in the amount of \$544,590.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of funding increase to the Use Agreement for the Annual Requirements Contract for Traffic Signal and Streetlight Replacement and Modernization - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: ACME ELECTRIC (\$500,000 - Special Revenue Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/LVACTS

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On November 21, 2001, the City Council approved the utilization of Clark County Bid No. 4933-01, Annual Maintenance Contract for Traffic Signal & Streetlight Replacement & Modernization awarded to ACME Electric in the amt. of \$500,000. Due to the unanticipated need & demand for use of this contract, it is necessary to increase the est. annual usage by \$500,000 or an overall est. annual usage amount of \$1,000,000.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of other local governments.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the funding increase of \$500,000 to the Use Agreement for the Annual Maintenance Contract for Traffic Signal and Streetlight Replacement and Modernization with ACME Electric.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order to develop, deliver and install phase two of a turnkey mobile video and data application system (KF) - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award Recommended to: WESTERN TECHNICAL SERVICES (\$219,891 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$219,891

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire and Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On September 19, 2001, City Council approved the issuance of a purchase order for phase one of this system. Phase two will provide for an additional rescue unit to be equipped with this new technology.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115(b), Professional services.

POC: James Q. Alaniz - (714) 998-2121

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Professional Service Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order (KF) to Western Technical Services in the amount of \$219,891. The Director of Finance and Business Services shall execute the Agreement on behalf of the City pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after meeting: Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for one (1) medium duty rescue truck (DAR) - Department of Fire and Rescue - Award recommended to: MEDTEC AMBULANCE (\$154,891 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$154,891

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire and Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of one (1) medium duty rescue truck to replace a unit that is beyond repair.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding procedures pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(d), equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of any inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: H. Curtis Clifford - (800) 352-4266

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of one (1) medium duty rescue truck to Medtec Ambulance in the amount of \$154,891.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020082-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Equipment - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award recommended to: IEP, LTD. (Estimated annual amount of \$150,000 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$150,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention and Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide for new and replacement CCTV equipment in various City facilities.

POC: Wayne Cowles - (702) 263-3624

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020082-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Equipment for the period from date of award through August 31, 2003 with four (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual amount of \$150,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 020084-DAR, Perennial Rye Grass Seed - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HELENA CHEMICAL CO. (\$73,700 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$73,700

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Parks

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide for the winter seeding of various parks and golf courses within the City of Las Vegas area.

The apparent low bidder (Western Productions, Inc.) failed to bid the grass seed blends that were specified in the bid documents, which deems their bid as non-responsive.

POC: Jeffrey Eggen - (702) 740-5320

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of the bid submitted by Western Productions, Inc. as non-responsive and approve the award of Bid Number 020084-DAR, Perennial Rye Grass Seed to Helena Chemical Co. in the amount of \$73,700.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for miracle playground equipment (TG) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: MIRACLE PLAYGROUND SALES SW (\$66,851.70 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$66,851.70

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Parks

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of miracle playground equipment to be installed at James Gay III Park.

This item is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(d), equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of any inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Henry Sudweeks - (800) 905-1411

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for miracle playground equipment to Miracle Playground Sales SW in the amount of \$66,851.70.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for the installation of new operable panels on the Municipal Pool (DAR) - Award recommended to: DEPENDABLE GLASS & MIRROR CO. (\$51,160 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$51,160

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fac. Mgmt.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the installation of new operable panels on Municipal Pool.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding procedures pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(c), additions to and repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

POC: Jim Pierce - (702)-736-6376

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the installation of new operable panels on the Municipal Pool to Dependable Glass & Mirror Co. in the amount of \$51,160.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of substitution of subcontractor for Bid Number 02.15341.05-LED, Deer Springs Park, Phase 1 - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: HENDERSON MASONRY - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 5, 2002, City Council awarded Bid Number 02.15341.05-LED, Deer Springs Park, Phase 1 in the amount of \$5,919,000 to Richardson Construction, Inc.(RCI). RCI is requesting substitution of their masonry subcontractor, Retaining Wall Systems USA, Inc. because the company will not sign the required contract documents to perform work. Therefore, it is the request of RCI that Henderson Masonry be allowed to perform work originally intended for Retaining Wall Systems USA, Inc.

POC: Louis Richardson - (702) 647-2200

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the substitution of subcontractor for Bid Number 02.15341.05-LED, Deer Springs Park, Phase 1 from Retaining Wall Systems USA, Inc. to Henderson Masonry.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Revision Number One to Purchase Order 213441 to Haworth, Inc.(CW) for the purchase of office furniture for various departments - Award recommended to HAWORTH, INC. (\$200,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$200,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Various☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Various**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On April 5, 2000 City Council approved an agreement and the issuance of Purchase Order 213441 for the purchase of office furniture from Haworth, Inc. in the estimated annual amount of \$600,000. Due to increased usage this revision is being written to add an additional \$200,000 to the purchase order to cover purchases through the expiration of the purchase order.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of Revision Number One to Purchase Order 213441 to Haworth, Inc. in the amount of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a contract renewal between the City of Las Vegas and the Trauma Intervention Programs, Inc. (\$38,275 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$38,275

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression Div.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Trauma Intervention Programs, Inc. (TIP) uses citizen volunteers to provide emotional and practical support to victims of traumatic events. Since this contract has been in place with the City since March 1, 1994, TIP continues to respond to all emergency incidents when requested by fire department personnel. TIP administers the entire program including recruiting, training, and the supervision of all personnel. The contract could be cancelled at any time with proper notification.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement between City of Las Vegas and Trauma Intervention Programs, Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of payment for a permanent partial disability award - Claim #WC01090237 - as required under the workers' compensation statutes (\$45,497 - Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$45,497

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

An equipment operator was diagnosed with bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome related to his employment. He has been rated by a state authorized medical doctor who determined that the injury resulted in a 13 percent whole person impairment.

RECOMMENDATION:

The award of \$45,497 be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of payment for a bodily injury settlement - Claim #2002-061 (\$30,000 - Liability Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$30,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Liability Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The above is a full and final settlement of all issues resulting from an accident which occurred when an individual tripped over a sign post base that was left in the sidewalk after a City employee removed the sign. The gentleman fell face first, causing his two front teeth to go completely through his upper chin, causing a permanent 1 1/2" facial scar. The plaintiff's attorney initially demanded \$50,000. It was negotiated and settled for \$30,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

The settlement of \$30,000 be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Southwest Administrators, Inc. for Third Party Administration Services for payment of medical and dental claims (\$391,100 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$391,100☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Health Insurance Plan (CHIP) is a self-funded Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan. Third party administration for medical and dental claims payment is currently contracted with USI Administrators. After a review of the responses to the 2001 Request for Proposal (RFP) and additional on-site visits, it is recommended that Southwest Administrators, Inc. replace USI Administrators as the Third Party Administrator. Costs are within approved FY 2003 budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the contract of Southwest Administrators, Inc. for Third Party Administration.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Staffing and pricing proposal from Southwest Administrators, Inc.
2. Memorandum from Insurance Committee Chairman to Acting City Manager recommending a change of Third Party Administrators

Submitted after meeting: Agreement for Third Party Claims Administration

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Summit Engineering Corporation on behalf of Ariyana Saint James, owner (southeast corner of Reiter Avenue and Leonard Lane, APN 138-24-511-047) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect a single family dwelling located at the southeast corner of Reiter Avenue and Leonard Lane. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" sewer line located in Eugene Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation".

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

Submitted after meeting: Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - VTN Nevada on behalf of SRE NEVADA-1 LLC, owner (southwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Tioga Way, APN 163-10-101-004) - County (near Ward 1 - M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect a commercial car dealership located at the southwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Tioga Way. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 21" sewer line located in Sahara Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project is located in Spring Valley and not included in the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement".

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

Submitted after meeting: Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Economic Opportunity Board Clark, owner ("E" Street at Adams Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of a handicap ramp on the west side of "E" Street and an 11' wide area of landscaping on the south side of Adams Avenue extending 85' westward from "E" Street and 35' eastward from "E" Street and an 11' wide area of landscaping on the west and east sides of "E" Street extending 125' southward from Adams Avenue consisting of decomposed granite for the proposed Economic Opportunity Board Transitional Housing development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map ("E" Street at Adams Avenue)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Nigro Associates on behalf of Aleco Stewart Enterprises, Incorporated, Soli Munakash, and Alexandra Munakash, owners (northwest corner of Stewart Avenue and Lamb Boulevard) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The existing encroachment consists of landscaping at the northwest corner of Stewart Avenue and Lamb Boulevard consisting of grass and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0067-80(8) for a retail shopping center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Stewart Avenue and Lamb Boulevard)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Dennis Zachary on behalf of Bob Boehler, owner (south of Hickam Avenue, east of El Capitan Way, APN 138-05-801-003 and 138-05-801-004) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect two single family dwellings located south of Hickam Avenue, east of El Capitan Way. The owner proposes to connect an existing 8" sewer line located in Hickam Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

Submitted after meeting: Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Highway Agreement No. PR269-00-010 for the enhancements on Lewis Avenue, between Casino Center Boulevard and Las Vegas Boulevard - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This agreement amends the termination date of the Lewis Avenue Corridor Enhancement Project to August 11, 2003. This allows additional time for cost accounting before closing out the agreement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment No. 1 to Highway Agreement No. PR269-00-010

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Construction Management Services Agreement with Harris & Associates, Inc. on the Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue, Rancho Drive to I-15 project (\$850,949 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$850,949

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is preparing to provide full street improvements along the alignment of Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue, Rancho Drive to I-15.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Construction Management Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Washington Infrastructure Services, Inc. for the engineering design of Tenaya Way, from Northern Beltway to Elkhorn Road (\$389,110 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$389,110

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Engineering Design Services Agreement with Washington Infrastructure Services, Inc. for the design, plan preparation, right-of-way drawings and legal descriptions, and SID coordination and exhibits for the construction of RTC road and drainage improvements on Tenaya Way between Centennial Parkway and Elkhorn Road.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No. 108464 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service at Mirabelli Senior Center (\$315 - Clark County Funds) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$315

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No. 108464

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGECITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the installation of speed humps on El Campo Grande Avenue between Sassa Street and Decatur Boulevard (\$9,000 - Neighborhood Traffic Management Program) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$9,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Traffic Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Neighborhood Traffic Management Program**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Traffic Engineering Division received a petition signed by residents requesting the installation of speed humps on El Campo Grande Avenue because of speeding traffic. The street has a 60-foot right-of-way and a single travel lane in each direction. Results of the traffic studies conducted on this roadway showed the 85th percentile speed to be 38 mph and the volume to be 1153 vehicles per day.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Consultant Service Contract with EMA, Inc. for business management services from the Environmental Division of Public Works (\$273,860 - Enterprise Fund) - County

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$273,860

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas intends to enter into an agreement with EMA, Inc. to evaluate, create, and implement a training & certification program for the Environmental Division to combine the separate functions of Operations & Maintenance to a group of employees trained and certified to do a variety of work.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Consultant Service Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-76-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-II to Establish a Speed Limit of 35 mph on Grand Teton Drive between Sky Pointe Drive and Buffalo Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff is requesting that a speed limit of 35 mph be established on Grand Teton Drive between Sky Pointe Drive and Buffalo Drive. Traffic studies showed that the 85th percentile speed ranged from 38 to 51 mph. The north side of this roadway is not fully improved, so staff considers 35 mph to be the appropriate speed limit at this time.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-76-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-77-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-II to Establish a Speed Limit of 35 mph on El Capitan Way between Durango Drive and the North City Limits - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff is requesting that a speed limit of 35 mph be established on El Capitan Way between Durango Drive and the north City limits. Traffic studies showed the 85th percentile speed to be 39 mph, so 35 mph is appropriate for this section of roadway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-77-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-78-2002 - Approval of a Resolution consenting to relinquishment and land transfer agreement from the State of Nevada, Department of Transportation for portions of Section 27, the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 33, and the West Half (W 1/2) of Section 34, T20S, R61E and the West Half (W 1/2) of Section 3 and the East Half (E 1/2) of Section 4, T21S, R61E, M.D.M., transferring Main Street between St. Louis Avenue and Owens Avenue, except any portion lying within Charleston Boulevard and the I-515 Freeway for street right-of-way purposes (amount to be determined in Fiscal Year 2003 and 2004 - Field Operations Streets Maintenance) – Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** To be determined in FY03-04☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Field Ops - Street Maintenance**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:****RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-78-2002
2. Map

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a Super Pawn shop near St. Louis and Las Vegas Boulevard, which is the vicinity involved in both Items 55 and 56, he would be voting on those two matters because there will not be any impact to his brother's business and he does not believe there is any conflict.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-79-2002 - Approval of a Resolution consenting to relinquishment of land transfer agreement from the State of Nevada, Department of Transportation for portions of the West Half (W 1/2) of Section 26, the East Half (E 1/2) of Section 27, and Section 34, T20S, R61E and the West Half (W 1/2) of Section 3 and the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 4, T21S, R61E, M.D.M., transferring Las Vegas Boulevard between Sahara Avenue and Owens Avenue, except any portion lying within the I-515 Freeway and Charleston Boulevard for street right-of-way purposes (amount to be determined in Fiscal Year 2003 and 2004 - Field Operations Street Maintenance) - Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: To be determined in FY03-04

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Field Ops - Street Maintenance

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-79-2002
2. Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a Super Pawn shop near St. Louis and Las Vegas Boulevard, which is the vicinity involved in both Items 55 and 56, he would be voting on those two matters because there will not be any impact to his brother's business and he does not believe there is any conflict.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-80-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Thirty-third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and curb and gutter project.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-80-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-81-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Thirty-third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and curb and gutter project.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-81-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-82-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Seventeenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Sonesta, Unit 1 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-82-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-83-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Seventeenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Sonesta, Unit 1 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-83-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-84-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Eighteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in San Marcos, Unit 2 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-84-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-85-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Eighteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in San Marcos, Unit 2 in The Vistas at Summerlin, Village 20.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-85-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-86-2002 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests, and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane) (\$381,165.08 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$381,165.08

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, streetlights, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-86-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-87-2002 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests, and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1477 - Tenaya Way and Azure Drive (\$2,416,532.82 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,416,532.82

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, driveway approaches, traffic signals, storm drains, streetlights, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-87-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with Clark County, City of North Las Vegas and The Animal Foundation for a potential Animal Care Campus lease proposed to be located adjacent to the existing Lied Animal Shelter on parcel numbers 139-25-801-002-003 and 139-25-405-007 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

CLV received Lied's unsolicited proposal 7/19/02 requesting to implement an Animal Care Campus. Lied proposal requests to lease approximately 6-8 acres of land from CLV. The proposal describes the campus to include an extended stay adoption center, vet tech school and medical facility, a wellness clinic and special events community center. In addition, there would be space/facilities to allow other municipalities to locate their animal control services on campus to provide valley residents one central location to retrieve lost pets and participate in all other proposed services.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/19/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval to enter into negotiations.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Proposal
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that they be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)
1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to submit an application to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for 2.5 acres of land identified as parcel number 125-05-101-002 located in the vicinity of Moccasin Road and Fort Apache Road for a fire station (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$100☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** PW Real Estate/Rental of Land**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City has a BLM lease for a future fire station to be located on the SE corner of Moccasin/Ft. Apache. NV Power has to install a 500KV transmission line in the middle of our lease site. Therefore, CLV cannot build a fire station on that site as previously planned. An alternate site has been located and is directly south of the existing lease. This alternate site is 2.5 acres located in the vicinity of Moccasin/Ft. Apache.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/19/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Application

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that they be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)
1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Richards Family Trust parcel number 139-34-410-152 located at 707 South 4th Street and parcel number 139-34-410-153 located at 401 Garces Avenue (\$2,250 first year monthly rent Municipal Parking Enterprise Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,250 1st year monthly rent☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Municipal Parking Ent. Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The parcels are located in an area that has the capability to provide a needed area for parking for CLV employees serving the Development Service Center building. The parcels have remained vacant for decades and staff feels that establishing a ground lease would provide a needed service while assisting with the downtown revitalization process with improvements including paving, lighting, iron fencing and landscaping. The initial term is for 3 years with 1 renewal option of 2 years and 1 renewal option of 3 months.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/19/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that they be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to submit an application to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for 40 acres of land identified as a portion of the parcel located on the southwest corner of Deer Springs Way and Alpine Ridge Way for a fire training facility and related fire departmental activity (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - County (near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: PW Real Estate/Rental of Land

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In an effort to secure a site for a future fire training facility and related fire departmental activity, City Staff desires to make application for 40 acres of land in the northwest area of the City. This selected site is located on the southwest corner of Deer Springs Way and Alpine Ridge Way and located in an area where actual growth is occurring.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/19/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Application

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Item 3 through 68 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that they be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: DOUG SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY reported that after COUNCILMAN MACK visited the family that was involved in the fatal accident at US-95 and Ann Road, City staff contacted the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) about the need for a barrier at that intersection. Thanks to COUNCILMAN MACK'S concerns and a very proactive response by NDOT, approximately 10,000 feet of new guardrail will be installed in that area to prevent future fatalities. That project is going to be fast tracked and should be completed by the end of the calendar year.

ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY also reported on the economic status of the City. Even though the numbers are still coming in and have not been audited, staff feels they represent a fair assessment of

the City's financial situation at this point. Visitor volume is down 4% in Southern Nevada, room occupancy is down 6% both on the Strip and downtown, and County gaming

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Administrative

Item 69 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

revenues are down 4%. Although airline traffic is down 4%, that was somewhat compensated by an increase of 9% in vehicle traffic into Southern Nevada. Consolidated revenue tax, which is the source of about 48% of General Fund revenues, is about 2% below what was originally budgeted.

On other economic indicators, building permit accounts are relatively flat, and the unemployment rate, although dropping, is still four tenths of a percent higher than a year ago. The index of leading economic indicators fell .4% in July, which is the third straight monthly decline. Fortunately, two years ago Deputy City Manager Houchens foresaw the gloomy future and conservative budget measures were taken. Consequently, the City is not facing some of the problems, such as layoffs and severe budget cuts, that some other cities throughout the country are facing just to make it through the year.

Some brighter news is that the City's General Fund revenue is slightly higher than was budgeted. Even though consolidated tax is slightly down, that decrease was offset by an increase in franchise fees of 25%. Business license fees were up 7%, Municipal Court fines and forfeitures were up 14%, and motor vehicle privilege tax and real property transfer tax, which are part of the consolidated tax, were up 10% and 14%, respectively.

Building and Safety Department revenues were up 23%, which is not due to an increase in permit activity but rather an increase in valuation. The Building and Safety Department also broke even as an Enterprise Fund. Sewer connection revenues were also up 8%. He noted that neither of these two revenues are part of the General Fund.

Finally, ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY stated that staff is moving forward cautiously and is optimistic that a recovery from 9/11/2001 is underway. The local economy is stronger than most, but the financial markets that affect the local economy are still very volatile. Interest rates are at a 40-year low, but the door is still open for further reductions if needed. Staff will continue the justification process for vacant positions, although it has been dramatically loosened as a result of the need to lighten some burdens on City staff.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that a concern was brought to her attention at a CEA (City Employees Association) quarterly briefing that Administrative staff is not complying with the ten-day window in responding to grievances. There was also a concern that there may not be enough resources through the Human Resources Department or the City Attorney's office to move grievances through the fair hearing process. She stressed that the City has an obligation to honor its contractual agreements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Administrative

Item 69 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY commented that some preliminary information was forwarded to the CEA. He agreed that there have been some delays, mostly due to complications in scheduling.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that the City is now proceeding properly with a request for proposal for the regional tennis facility. However, she expressed concern about staff scheduling the matter before the City Council with a recommendation to proceed with a sole source before having all the necessary information to be able to make that kind of recommendation to the Council. Hence, a lot of time was wasted on this matter.

MAYOR GOODMAN recalled this item at the request of COUNCILMAN McDONALD, who expressed concern about a situation on Palomino, between Campbell and Rancho, where construction is taking place on the right-of-way and causing traffic problems. The PTA (Parent-Teacher Association) has been calling to complain because there is concern for the students that will soon be starting school at the nearby school.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed Acting City Manager Selby to provide a status report on why the processing of grievances is taking so long. She also stressed that staff should not place any matter on the agenda for Council action until all pertinent information is available so that the Council can make an informed decision. ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY assured COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD that he would keep that in mind.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY to take immediate action on the situation at Palomino and to keep the Mayor's office informed on this matter. He requested a meeting during the Council break with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC, ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY, MAYOR GOODMAN, and CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI of Traffic Engineering.

(9:43 – 9:50/11:13 – 11:14)

1-1256/2-1267

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS SELBY

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on Meadows Village Task Force

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff will present the initial inventory report and provide an update on current activities.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the staff report.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to follow the recommendations put in place along with a three-month (11/20/2002) review – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was clarified with the maker of the motion that the process should continue as outlined by staff and that a report is to be brought back within three months (11/20/2002).

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BETSY FRETWELL, Deputy City Manager

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department

CHRIS CRISTOFF, 335 W. Cincinnati

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

AL GALLEG0, Las Vegas citizen

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Administrative

Item 70 – Report and possible action on Meadows Village Task Force

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed Deputy City Manager Fretwell to also include the home and apartment complex owners in the notification process, to compile a list of who is and is not actively participating in the crime prevention measures, to look into the possibility of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department conducting reversal stings, and to look into federal funding that might support additional officers so that they can be assigned to problem areas.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed City Manager staff to explore the possibility of broadening the powers of the marshals and directed that the task force that is being formed address problem areas throughout the City, not just Meadows Village.

(9:50 – 10:08)

1-1537

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES****DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH FRETWELL** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report and possible action on the legislative package that will be submitted to the Legislative Counsel Bureau on behalf of the City

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas has an opportunity to submit its own Bill Draft Requests (BDRs) for consideration at the Legislative Session. Staff recommends that the City of Las Vegas request two bill drafts of the statutory allotment of four bills.

BDR #1 This BDR would seek to amend Chapter 268 requiring the County Assessor's Office to include all City of Las Vegas' nuisance and dangerous building abatement liens to the semiannual tax roll. This amendment would clarify that the lien shall be added to the next regular tax bill levied against the parcel. The special assessment is then to be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary property taxes are collected. In the event the special assessment is not paid in a timely fashion it would be subject to the same penalties, interest, procedures and sale in case of delinquency as provided for ordinary property taxes.

Currently, the County is recording the liens that have been placed on property, but not including it on the regular property tax bill that is mailed to residents every six months. By requiring the lien to be paid with its regular property tax payment, the amount of liens paid will increase by approximately 30%.

BDR #2 Amend Chapter 279.454 of the NRS that addresses interest and disclosure in redevelopment areas to allow an officer or an employee the ability to invest and approve policies and plans in a redevelopment locality. Additionally, it would retain the requirements to disclose any direct or indirect financial interest in a property in the redevelopment areas and comply with current ethics laws as stated in NRS 281.501.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the proposed legislative package and direct the City Manager to submit the BDRs to the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 71 – Report and possible action on the legislative package that will be submitted to the Legislative Counsel Bureau on behalf of the City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the proposed legislative package containing Bill Draft Requests Nos. 1 and 2 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL stated that the deadline for the City to submit up to four bill draft requests is fast approaching. Staff underwent a vigorous review process to try to identify various bills that would assist the City based on the Council's key priorities. One of those high priorities is to remain cost effective and mindful of revenues.

One of the issues that came up at the end of the last legislative session is that the County pursued a bill requiring that liens on abandoned and vacant buildings be paid every six months and be included as part of the regular property tax notification that residents receive. However, that bill was not broad enough to include that flexibility for municipalities. Therefore, staff is requesting approval to submit a bill draft request (BDR #1) that would give the City that same flexibility, increasing the amount of liens paid by about 30%. That is a substantial improvement and helps with the City's cash flow so that they can be relied upon as revenues.

The second bill draft request (BDR #2) staff is recommending is to modify the current law that prohibits the Council members from investing in a redevelopment area and allow them to invest. Disclosure and abstention would still be required to satisfy the State ethics laws. But it would allow the Council members to invest in redevelopment areas, as well as to continue to approve the plans and policies associated with a redevelopment area.

Approval of these two bill draft requests would leave the opportunity to submit two additional bill draft requests, although staff has not identified any additional necessary bill draft requests. However, staff will continue to focus on the Council's legislative priorities in support of the City's Strategic Plan, as well as its customer service efforts.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD added that BDR #2 is very important. She was shocked to find out that not even her husband could open up a law office in the downtown area. The Council members should be able invest in the redevelopment areas, especially since they are all enthusiastic about downtown redevelopment. They should definitely not be prohibited from being able to participate in the growth in which they are encouraging other companies to participate.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 71 – Report and possible action on the legislative package that will be submitted to the Legislative Counsel Bureau on behalf of the City of Las Vegas

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD then announced that Doug Dickerson, Executive Director, Nevada League of Cities, would be giving a presentation before the City Council on the bill draft requests the League would be presenting before the Legislature.

MAYOR GOODMAN took the opportunity to congratulate COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD on her recent election as president of the Nevada League of Cities. As far as BDR #2, he said that if the Council members believe in the downtown redevelopment efforts, then they should be able to invest in that area, as is currently being done in other cities throughout the country. As long as there is proper disclosure, there should not be any problem. Hopefully, Assemblyman Wendell Williams will support the City in this effort.

There was no further discussion.

(10:08 – 10:15)

1-2291

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding an Investigator position and matching funding pursuant to City Attorney's subgrant 2002-VAWG-12 from the Violence against Women Act (VAWA) - (\$53,586 awarded, \$19,673 in-kind match, total project \$73,259 - Grant Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$53,586☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Attorney/Criminal☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Grant Special Revenue**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City has been awarded a VAWA subgrant (2002-VAWG-12) from the Women Against Women Act. Acceptance of this subgrant award was approved by the City Council on July 17, 2002. This request is for the creation of an investigator position as funded under this subgrant. The position will focus on enhancing the safety of victims of battery-domestic violence. Areas of assignment may include, but not be limited to, interviews and additional investigation (medical records, voluntary statements, etc.) required in the prosecution of battery-domestic violence cases, investigation of the location and service of subpoenas on victims/witnesses of battery-domestic violence cases for prosecution and other related investigative support/services which may be required. The in-kind match will be satisfied through a City-funded investigator complementing the VAWA funded investigator in such matters.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Attorney that the City Council approve the creation of the position of Investigator for battery-domestic violence matters.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that at a previous meeting the Council approved the Violence Against Women Act grant to fund a position in the City Attorney's office for an investigator solely

dedicated to investigating domestic violence cases. This item entails the creation of that position through an in-kind match by the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

City Attorney

Item 72 – Discussion and possible action regarding an Investigator position and matching funding pursuant to City Attorney's subgrant 2002-VAWG-12 from the Violence against Women Act (VAWA) - (\$53,586 awarded, \$19,673 in-kind match, total project \$73,259 - Grant Special Revenue Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments regarding the need for funding a violence-against-men program.

There was no further discussion.

(10:15 – 10:17)

1-2600

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGECITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding an Interlocal Agreement with Clark County and an increase in funding for the Huntridge Circle Park Renovation capital project (\$600,000 - Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Project Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$600,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parks & Leisure Activities CPF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Clark County has agreed to participate with the City in the planned renovation of Huntridge Circle Park. The project was approved by the City Council and funded for \$600,000. The County will contribute an additional \$600,000 to the project to provide additional renovation work.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the interlocal agreement and to increase funding for the Huntridge Circle Park Renovation capital project by \$600,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Interlocal Agreement for the Renovation of Huntridge Circle Park
2. Parks in Progress Listing

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that on the previous day Clark County approved this Interlocal Agreement to fund an additional \$600,000 to supplement the City's portion for the design and reconstruction of Circle Park, which is located in the center of the City. Staff recommends approval of this Interlocal and the subsequent augmentation of the park CIP (Capital Improvement Projects) budget, bringing the cost of this project to a total of \$1.2 million.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 73 - Discussion and possible action regarding an Interlocal Agreement with Clark County and an increase in funding for the Huntridge Circle Park Renovation capital project (\$600,000 - Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Project Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked Clark County Commissioner Myrna Williams for collaborating with this project and helping the City to obtain the additional \$600,000 to realize a long-time dream of the residents in the area. He also extended his appreciation to staff for their efforts in this matter.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE requested that John McNellis, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, expedite this project.

There was no further discussion.

(10:17 – 10:18)

1-2669

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Rebel Oil Company, Inc., dba Rebel 65, 1720 West Charleston Blvd., Sally A. Wallace, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to a six-month (2/19/2003) review – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by her employer CORINA POWERS.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the charge against Ms. Wallace for the sale of alcohol to a minor has been disposed of.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MS. POWERS that she is willing to hire MS. WALLACE despite of awareness of her criminal background.

MR. DiFIORE recommended a six-month review.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that he is very concerned about the sale of alcohol to minors. He urged Ms. Wallace to be very mindful of that and make sure that she only sells alcohol to adults.

There was no further discussion.

(10:18 – 10:21)
1-2744

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Massage Establishment License, Rong Guo Zhang, dba Asian Health Center, 2121 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 2, Rong G. Zhang, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of the item at the City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 77 to 9/18/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:40 – 9:42)

1-1155

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Mulugeta K. Bour, dba 4th Street Market, 113 North 4th Street, Mulugeta K. Bour, 100%, (NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #134 - Special Use Permit #U-0067-02) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 77 to 9/18/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:40 – 9:42)

1-1155

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Reclassification From: Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, To: Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Nasir Kosa, dba Value Market, 1510 East Sahara Ave., Nasir Kosa, 100%, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #135 - Special Use Permit #U-0072-02) - Ward 3 (Reese)**

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Reclassification From: Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, To: Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 75 and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 77 to 9/18/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:40 – 9:42)

1-1155

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding City Manager selection following presentations by staff and final applicants (Salary and benefits not to exceed \$179,253 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$179,253☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Manager☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Council will consider the finalists for the position of City Manager. The five final candidates listed alphabetically are as follows: Jose "Pepe" Charles, Elizabeth Fretwell, E. Louis Overstreet, Douglas Selby, and John Sullard.

RECOMMENDATION:

Council to provide Human Resources staff with direction regarding the selection of City Manager.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT Doug Selby as City Manager – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources Department, advised that Jose "Pepe" Charles withdrew his application because he accepted another job offer.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated his satisfaction at the kind of personnel that came forward with a desire to work with the City. Each applicant had a lot of strengths and each represented diverse segments. He was very appreciative of the presentations given by all the candidates.

He then made the motion to appoint MR. SELBY as City Manager and said that MR. SELBY brings credible leadership in a quiet yet forceful way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Human Resources

Item 78 - Discussion and possible action regarding City Manager selection following presentations by staff and final applicants

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE also expressed his appreciation to all of the applicants and candidates, especially to DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL, and explained to her that her not being appointed is just a matter of timing. He clarified that he fully supports the appointment of MR. SELBY as City Manager because former CITY MANAGER VALENTINE recommended him as Acting City Manager because she felt MR. SELBY was qualified to fill her shoes. He also supports the appointment because he strongly feels that employees should be given the opportunity to move up into vacant supervisory positions, especially if they are temporarily filling in, or such employees will not want to remain with the City.

COUNCILMAN REESE then stated that MR. SELBY is very qualified and well respected for those things that he brings to the City. He advised MR. SELBY to be prepared to recommend the person that will fill his shoes when he leaves the City.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that even though she was absent the day the final candidates made their presentations, she had the opportunity to review all the presentations and was given responses to her questions to the candidates. She concurred with the comments that all of the candidates are very qualified. However, she too opined that MR. SELBY is the man to lead the City team. She supported the Mayor's nomination.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he worked with MR. SELBY for over four years at the Las Vegas Valley Water District and the Water Authority. For this position, he checked some of MR. SELBY'S references, and one of the things that most impressed him was the number of unsolicited letters and phone calls that came in from external stakeholders, such as firms and developers in Las Vegas that do business with the City, saying that they are very impressed with MR. SELBY. He also received solicited and unsolicited input from many City employees at all levels who were very strong in their positive statements about MR. SELBY'S leadership abilities and fairness. This proves that MR. SELBY has already established himself as a credible leader.

COUNCILMAN BROWN agreed with the Mayor's comment that MR. SELBY is a quiet force. One of his most difficult challenges is dealing with the seven Council members and being able to manage both upwards and downwards through the organization. Finally, he strongly opined that MR. SELBY is the right man for the position of City Manager.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Human Resources

Item 78 - Discussion and possible action regarding City Manager selection following presentations by staff and final applicants

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that he was very adamant about a statewide search rather than a nationwide search and felt that the entire process was very fair and that MS. ENUS handled it very well. He expressed appreciation to the finalists and wished them luck, noting that DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL did an excellent job. It made him especially proud, as a fellow minority, to see that African-Americans and Hispanics are at a level where they can compete for these types of positions and give hope to minorities. He then expressed his support for the motion.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD said that he is very impressed with the way MR. SELBY took the reigns, thinking outside the box, and being able to serve the Council members, “a seven-headed monster,” all with ideas that sometimes differ. Above all MR. SELBY has proven, like a true leader, his ability to bring the members together and put them on the right path. He noted that he is also very proud and impressed with DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL, and then he expressed his support for the Mayor’s nomination.

COUNCILMAN MACK remarked that he had the privilege of interviewing all of the candidates the previous day, at which time he clearly expressed his concerns about what is going on in the City and his hopes that the City can start moving in the right direction. He too felt that all the final candidates were top notch. He was very proud of the responses.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments opining that MR. SELBY is a man of dignity and wisdom and the right man for the job.

CHRIS CRISTOFF, 335 W. Cincinnati St., opined that MR. SELBY has a quiet strength like VIRGINIA VALENTINE. And like MS. VALENTINE, he hopes that MR. SELBY will be able to respond to the citizens in a timely fashion even though he may be busy with 100 other matters.

TOMMY RICKETTS, President, City Employees Association, thanked the Council for an excellent decision in appointing MR. SELBY as City Manager. This will provide a great opportunity for City employees to go in a new direction.

Lastly, newly appointed CITY MANAGER SELBY stated that he felt relieved, flattered, and especially honored, because this will allow him to give back to this wonderful community that he grew up in. He thanked City staff, in particular DEPUTY CITY MANAGERS HOUCHENS and FRETWELL, for their wonderful performance during the transition period. He looks forward to continuing to work with them, the Council, and the citizens.

There was no further discussion.

(10:21 – 10:38)
1-2901/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the extension of the resolution authorizing payment to eligible city employees during military leave

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The resolution originally approved at the October 3, 2001 Council Meeting allowed the City Manager to implement payment to City employees eligible for military leave for the period of September 2001 through March 2002. The City has been making up the difference between the employee's City base wage and the military wage. Upon receipt of a copy of the employee's military pay statement, Payroll issues a check to the employee or the employee's family on the regular payday in the amount representing the difference between the employees regular pay and military pay.

RECOMMENDATION:

Council to provide Human Resources with guidance regarding an extension of the resolution authorizing payment to eligible city employees during military leave.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED indefinite extension of the resolution – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources Department, said that the program has gone smoothly and worked well.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that City employees should receive payment as long as they are serving their country.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 80 – Discussion and possible action regarding the extension of the resolution authorizing payment to eligible city employees during military leave

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that many of the employees that have returned have gone out of their way to thank the Council members for this gesture. He was proud to be able to say at the Conference of Mayors that the City recognized its employees and made sure that their families would not suffer economic difficulties as a result of problems in the rest of the world.

There was no further discussion.

(10:38 – 10:40)

2-115

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a request by WestCare Nevada Inc to relocate their Community Development Block Grant rehabilitation and expansion project from 930 North Fourth Street to 401 South Martin Luther King Boulevard - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On April 4, 2001, Council approved \$201,734 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the rehabilitation and expansion to WestCare's detoxification facility located on 930 N. Fourth Street. On March 20, 2002, Council approved an additional \$214,891 for this project for a total award of \$416,625. The agency has contacted the city and requested that the project be relocated to its 401 S. Martin Luther King Boulevard facility. This relocation will place its services closer to area hospitals, which would allow for quicker patient assessment and treatment.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve this amended use of CDBG funds with WestCare Nevada, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

WestCare letter dated June 17, 2002

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, reviewed the information contained in the above Purpose/Background section. She indicated that JIM AUSTIE, Vice President of WestCare, and MICHAEL LEVIN, Vice President of Facility Operations with WestCare, were present to answer any questions by the Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 80 - Discussion and possible action on a request by WestCare Nevada Inc to relocate their Community Development Block Grant rehabilitation and expansion project from 930 North Fourth Street to 401 South Martin Luther King Boulevard

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that Dan Contreras, Bonanza Village resident, is in concurrence with this project. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY then thanked ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARBARA CEGAVSKE and her staff for meeting with each Council member to explain the process. The direction in which WestCare is going will definitely be of benefit to those that are in need of these types of services. He also thanked Ms. Segerblom.

There was no further discussion.

(10:40 – 10:42)

2-166

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the proposal submission for the Department of Labor Earmark Demonstration/Pilot appropriation for employment and training needs in the amount of \$1.75 million dollars
- All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Labor Earmark proposal must be submitted to the National DOL Office by October 31, 2002. In order to meet this deadline, the City of Las Vegas must forward the proposal to the San Francisco office no later than September 6, 2002. The City of Las Vegas proposes to develop an Incumbent and New-entrant Worker Program (INWP) that addresses employment and training needs with a provision of direct services for individuals to enhance employment opportunities with an evaluation component. The goal of this program is to help avert future layoffs, resulting from significant changes in our economy and a national disaster. It is expected that this program as proposed will enhance the Las Vegas Valley quality of life and economic stability significantly.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the proposal submission to the Department of Labor and direct Neighborhood Services to draft the necessary Memorandum of Agreements for City Council approval within 30-days of receiving the appropriation.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Submitted after Final Agenda: 8/13/2002 and 8/14/2002 letters from Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Before describing the proposal, SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, acknowledged LISA MORRIS, Sr. Management Analyst of Neighborhood Services, for conducting the research and putting this together, as well as WENDELL WILLIAMS, Sr. Management Analyst of Neighborhood Services, for putting together the partnerships, which will make a big difference in the success of the program.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action on the proposal submission for the Department of Labor Earmark Demonstration/Pilot appropriation for employment and training needs in the amount of \$1.75 million

MINUTES – Continued:

This item concerns the DOL (Department of Labor) earmarked appropriations to the City of Las Vegas toward the purpose of developing non-duplicative, pilot demonstration employment programs. This proposal to DOL addresses community needs, enhances employment opportunities of local residents and has a mandated evaluation component, as well as what MS. SEGERBLOM believes will result in the improvement of the economic stability of the Valley. There are three components: medical, education, and a return to self-sufficiency for non-violent felons coming back to the Las Vegas Valley.

MS. SEGERBLOM then reviewed the details of each of the components. Medical - There is a need for almost 500 nurses every year. It is an essential need that makes a huge difference in the local economic vitality. The demonstration project addresses this shortage in partnership with the Community College of Southern Nevada (CCSN), and many of the nursing associations are going to assist in mentoring to encourage non-traditional students in lower levels of medical fields to move into jobs on a fast track toward becoming Licensed Practical Nurses with an opportunity to go on to CCSN for a nursing degree.

Teaching – The Clark County School District has a significant shortage and need for teachers in the fields of TESL (Teaching English as a Second Language) and Math/Science. In Nevada, there are 29,000 students that reside in homes where Spanish is the primary language; 10,000 students represent 51 other spoken languages. UNLV's Department of Curriculum and Instruction will offer the Fast Track Licensure Demonstration Program to students with a Bachelor's degree in a non-educational field and participants will be licensed to teach TESL and Math within 12 to 18 months.

Ex-offenders – Over 4,000 ex-offenders are released in Nevada annually, with 65% of those inmates coming from and returning to the Las Vegas Valley. Many parolees return to custody within the first six months after their release. The City wants to provide a program to assist ex-offenders who want to become self-sufficient, want to work, and who are going to become good members of the community. The desire is to create a seamless transition with the assistance of the Nevada Department of Corrections and the Department of Parole and Probation in enabling the program participants to work toward self-sufficiency, economic empowerment, self-respect, and improved self-esteem, while reducing the risk of recidivism.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action on the proposal submission for the Department of Labor Earmark Demonstration/Pilot appropriation for employment and training needs in the amount of \$1.75 million

MINUTES – Continued:

All costs associated with the personnel will be covered by the grant. The City Council will be briefed on staffing augmentations or professional services contracts required. Personnel will be hired on contract for the grant and will not be City employees.

MS. SEGERBLOM reiterated that the most important aspect in developing these programs is the partnerships that have been developed. MS. MORRIS acknowledged some of the representatives from some of those partnerships: DR. GREG LEAVITT, Chair, (who could not be present), DR. CINDY GORGES, and DR. MARILYN FORD – UNLV School of Education; AMY WHITE, Director - Nevada Department Parole and Probation; JACKIE CRAWFORD, Director (who could not be present), WARDEN HILDRESS, and BECKY JO SPRAY - Nevada Department of Corrections; SHIRLEY SNYDER, Director - Community College of Southern Nevada Nursing Program; JOYCE HALDERMAN, Executive Director of Community and Government Relations - Clark County School District; CAROL GREITZ and LINA GUTIERREZ, Directors of Human Resources Division - Clark County School District; and RICHARD BLUE and ARDEO GALBRETH – Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board.

MS. MORRIS added that there are many other service providers and community supporters that could not be mentioned. The City was very fortunate in being able to partner with organizations that are not only extremely committed to the success of this program, but also to the success of the participants who will be involved.

MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMEMBERS L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and REESE expressed their appreciation to Neighborhood Services staff for its efforts in this innovative project.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD mentioned that since discussions began about the creation of such a program, she always stressed the need to implement non-gaming oriented training programs, because, when the local economy suffers, gaming employees are the first ones to get laid off. That is what occurred after 9-11 and after the California energy crisis. Nurses and teachers are greatly needed in this community, and the people trained in those professions will probably have a steady job the rest of their working career.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action on the proposal submission for the Department of Labor Earmark Demonstration/Pilot appropriation for employment and training needs in the amount of \$1.75 million

MINUTES – Continued:

As far as the ex-offenders program, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that Catholic Charities' Project Pride has been very successful, because it offers transitional housing to those undergoing the job training program. She strongly urged City staff to involve proven programs, such as Project Pride, in future discussions. She applauded staff for setting a new standard for Neighborhood Services.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that this program's outreach is going to change the lives of many Nevadans who have long awaited these types of services. He also thanked SENATOR HARRY REID and his staff, in particular IDA GAINES (who was in the audience), and some of his legal staff for meeting with him to discuss some of the issues and crimes that are occurring in a particular section of Ward 5. He requested the Council's full support on this project.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if someone has already been chosen to teach TESL. MS. SEGERBLOM answered that no one has been selected yet. Specific certification is required, and she is working with the community and UNLV in answering some of their questions. She will update the Council before any final decisions are made. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that PRISCILLA ROCHA, who is on the State Board of Education, contacted him to express some legitimate concerns about this program. MS. MORRIS pointed out UNLV currently runs the program; therefore, they will provide instructors. However, staff will follow up with MS. ROCHA. Additionally, there are some other areas where gaps have been identified that staff will look into.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD interjected that the TESL is not just for Spanish-speaking individuals. There are children in her ward that come from various other countries, such as Bosnia and China, where the primary language is not English.

MAYOR GOODMAN admitted that he was very skeptical about this project in the beginning because he did believe that the federal funds could be used for any purpose other than to assist those who were displaced as a result of 9-11. He cannot think of any project that can better serve the community. The only concern he and Councilman Weekly share is that staff take into consideration adequate training for male and female ex-offenders who live in Ward 5 so that they may be able to participate in the redevelopment projects in that community.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE stressed that extensive outreach for the TESL program is necessary, especially in the Meadows Village area.

There was no further discussion.

(10:42 – 10:55)
2-222

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding applications for Transportation Enhancement Program funds for downtown streetscape beautification projects (\$153,233 - Capital Improvement Projects/General Capital Fund) - Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$153,233☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CIP/General Capital Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The purpose of these applications is to secure funding from the Transportation Enhancement Program to undertake future downtown streetscape beautification projects. Applications submitted in October of 2002 are to fund enhancement projects in the FY 2004-2005 federal budget cycle, which begins in October of 2004.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
 2. Copy of enhancement program application for East Fremont Street
 3. Copy of enhancement program application for Third Street
- Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

FRANK FIORE, with the Department of Planning and Development, reported that this request involves the submittal of two applications to the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) to request Transportation Enhancement Program funds for streetscape beautification projects. One request is for almost \$1.5 million for street improvements for East Fremont Street, from Las Vegas Boulevard to Eighth Street, which is the area of the new Entertainment District. The second request is for Third Street, from Lewis Avenue to the Fremont Street Experience, to do streetscape improvements similar to

those currently found on Fourth Street. The City is required to provide a 5% match, which would be a total of approximately \$153,000. The total request for both projects is about \$3 million.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002

Planning and Development

Item 82 – Discussion and possible action regarding applications for Transportation Enhancement Program funds for downtown streetscape beautification projects (\$153,233 - Capital Improvement Projects/General Capital Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. FIORE noted that he attended a workshop at NDOT, which is suggesting a maximum grant in the amount of \$600,000. However, the same suggestion was given for the Lewis Avenue Corridor request, and then the State decided to fund one of the applications for more than the suggested amount.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments, regarding the need to include CAT (Citizens Area Transit) bus routes within the downtown area for the sake of pedestrian safety.

AL GALLEGOS, Las Vegas citizen, wondered when the City is going to start beautifying other parts of the City, such as the area of the freeway and Owens Avenue, which is one of the entryways to the City of Las Vegas. He urged the Council to take action.

MAYOR GOODMAN interjected that no beautification project is going to improve the downtown area unless the undesirable elements are under control.

There was no further discussion.

(10:55 – 11:00)

2-635

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - R-69-2002 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution consenting to certain undertakings of the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency in connection with the Owner Participation Agreement with World Market Center, LLC, for the project concerning the development of real property generally west of Grand Central Parkway and north of Bonneville/Alta - (APN's 139-33-610-004, 139-33-511-003, and 139-33-511-004) - Ward 5 (Weekly) [NOTE: This item is related to Redevelopment Agency Item #3]

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

World Market Center, LLC, will build up to 7,500,000 s.f. of wholesale furniture showrooms, and related uses such as exhibition, office, and mixed-use space, over the 57 acre site. Developer is seeking TIF for some qualified improvements as no other means of financing is available. The project is of benefit to the redevelopment plan area.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-69-2002
2. Location Map

Submitted at the meeting: packet of documents containing World Market Additional Amendments, Modifications to World Market Center Owner Participation Agreement, Owner Participation Agreement Between the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency and World Market Center, LLC, with Attachments A through I, and a second document titled Modifications to World Market Center Owner Participation Agreement by Lesa Coder and a packet of documents containing a Summary of Expected Benefits, a list of World-Class Exhibitors, and letters from The Ackman-Ziff Real Estate Group, LLC, Nigel Alliance O.B.E., Nevada Development Authority, and Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce by Attorney Mark Fiorentino

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 - UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Resolutions
Item 83 – R-69-2002

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of City Council Agenda Item 83 and Redevelopment Agency Agenda Item 3 is made a part of the Final Minutes for both meetings under the respective items.

NOTE: MS. CODER requested that all documents submitted be made a part of the Final Minutes of City Council Item 83 and Redevelopment Agency Item 3.

APPEARANCES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development
MARK FIORENTINO, Attorney, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, representing World Market Center, LLC, accompanied by RICHARD JOST, Co-Counsel
DARRELL ALTERWITZ, Walker Furniture
GARY PECK, President, ACLU, 325 S. 23rd Street
STAN WASHINGTON, Southern Nevada Consortium for Disenfranchised Veterans
GENE COLLINS
RICHARD BIANCO
SYLVESTER McGARY JR.
EDDIE Q. HICKS, Retired Marine Corps Captain
AL BROWN
LEROY BASS, 1321 Sharon
FRANK BERNA, Clark County resident
EDDIE CYAMS, Southern Nevada Consortium for Disenfranchised Veterans
VIOLETA CYAMS, Southern Nevada Consortium for Disenfranchised Veterans
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to give an opinion on the comment that adopting the Redevelopment Plan before adopting the Employment Plan is against the City's policy and unlawful.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed MS. CODER to find out if a representative, such as MR. STAN WASHINGTON, who is interested in the employment plan, can obtain standing to negotiate and then be able to speak for the class being affected. He also said that he would like the employment plan prior to the next meeting.

(11:00/11:14 – 11:18/11:22/11:35 – 12:14)

2-793/2-1324/2-2052/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-88-2002 - Discussion and possible action on a Resolution transferring 2002/03 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap of \$2,700,000 to PacifiCap Properties and \$20,405,707 to the State Housing Division for future City of Las Vegas multi-family housing projects to be allocated by December 31, 2004 - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Resolution transferring \$2,700,000 of the City's 2002/2003 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to PacifiCap Properties for acquisition and rehabilitation of a 154 unit multi-family apartment complex known as Cedar Village Apartments located at 2850 Cedar Avenue in Ward 3. The Resolution will designate \$20,405,707 for transfer to the State Housing Division for future City of Las Vegas multi-family housing projects to be determined at a later date and allocated by 12/31/04.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute all related documents.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Resolution No: R-88-2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, explained that the City's Private Activity Bond Volume Cap must be used for multi-family housing construction or acquisition and rehabilitation of existing multi-family housing. The project is being proposed by

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Resolutions
Item 84 – R-88-2002

MINUTES – Continued:

PacifiCap Properties, whose development team has developed, owns, and manages over 600 units in Nevada currently. The site for the proposed project is at 2850 Cedar Avenue in Ward 3 and involves the acquisition and rehabilitation of 154 units. She described some of the amenities of the development. She recommended the allocation of \$2.7 million, noting that the total project is going to cost \$10 million. The project will create 25 construction jobs and 12 post-construction jobs within the City. They are expected to contribute approximately \$63,000 yearly in property taxes. Finally, she indicated that CHAD I. RENNAKER, President of PacifiCap Properties, was present to answer questions.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if the Private Activity Bond money could also be used for senior housing and acquisitions. MR. RENNAKER said that it could be used for the construction of senior housing; however, this project does not involve senior housing. MS. SEGERBLOM answered that it can be utilized toward new construction or acquisition and rehabilitation of existing multi-family units, but not for acquisitions and land banking of teardowns. She noted that a balance of \$20 million remains that could be used for future City of Las Vegas affordable housing projects.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked Ms. Segerblom and her staff for their work on this project.

There was no further discussion.

(11:00 – 11:02)

2-790

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

:

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE –Bill Martin, Term Expiration 6/5/2002

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas Audit Oversight Committee was created by City Council Resolutions R-49-98 and R-117-98 for the purpose of overseeing various aspects of the City's internal audit function. Two members must be specifically identified members of the City Council, and three members must be from the community-at-large. Mr. Martin fills a community-at-large seat and is not eligible for reappointment, as he has served two terms. There is no city residency requirement. At the Council Meeting of August 7, 2002, this item was abeyed to August 21, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Committee requires appointment by the Mayor, subject to ratification by the City Council. It will be necessary to appoint a community-at-large member to fill Mr. Martin's seat.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. City of Las Vegas Audit Oversight Committee Listing and Authority
2. Board Interest Form – Amanda R. McWilliams

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that he has not been able to speak with certain people in the community about this appointment.

There was no further discussion.

(11:02 – 11:03)

2-897

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

:

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE – Joseph Saitta, Term Expiration
7/19/2002

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas Audit Oversight Committee was created by City Council Resolutions R-49-98 and R-117-98 for the purpose of overseeing various aspects of the City's internal audit function. Two members must be specifically identified members of the City Council and three members must be from the community-at-large. Mr. Saitta fills a community-at-large seat and he is eligible for reappointment. There is no city residency requirement. At the Council Meeting of August 7, 2002, this item was abeyed to August 21, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Committee requires appointment by the Mayor, subject to ratification by the City Council. Options are: Reappoint Mr. Saitta or appoint a new community-at-large member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. City of Las Vegas Audit Oversight Committee Listing and Authority
2. Board Interest Form – Amanda R. McWilliams

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that he has not been able to speak with certain people in the community about this appointment.

There was no further discussion.

(11:03)
2-930

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:****ABEYANCE ITEM** - CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – Nona Carroll – Term Expiration 6-2005 (Resigned)**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members. However, at the present time, membership remains at five and appointments are coterminous with the Council member making the appointment. Ms. Carroll is the coterminous appointment of Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald and, with her resignation. Councilwoman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be a city resident, may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. At the Council Meeting of August 7, 2002, this item was abeyed to August 21, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald to appoint a new representative as her coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility. The appointee will fill the unexpired term, which ends June 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resignation letter from Nona Carroll
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara Rhea

MOTION:**L.B. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Boards and Commissions
Item 87 – Child Care Licensing Board

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD announced that she is looking for candidates to appoint to this board and encouraged interested people to contact the City Clerk's office to obtain an interest form.

There was no further discussion.

(11:03 – 11:04)

2-930

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-80 – Annexation No. A-0053-99 (A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Tropical Parkway; Petitioned by: Duesco, et al. (previous owners); Acreage: 19.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to RNP1) (County zoning), R-E (ROI to R-PD3) (City equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Tropical Parkway. The annexation is at the request of the previous property owners in connection with subdivision development. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The property is currently developed with a subdivision. The annexation will become effective after the November general election to avoid uncertainty related to voting eligibility.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/21/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/5/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/17/2002; First Publication – 8/9/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-80 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and Bill ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5502 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:04 – 11:05)

2-963

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-81 – Annexation No. A-0008-02 (A) – Property location: Southeast of the intersection of Grand Teton Drive and Hualapai Way; Petitioned by: El Durango, LLC, et al.; Acreage: 118.18 acres; Zoned: R-3 (County zoning); U (PCD), U (PR) and U (PF) (City equivalents). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located southeast of the intersection of Grand Teton Drive and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 30, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 8/21/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/5/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/17/2002; First Publication – 8/9/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-81 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and Bill ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5503 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting and Goodman abstaining because it involves Kevin Parkinson's property

NOTE: The initial motion by Weekly for approval, which carried unanimously, was rescinded by a subsequent motion by Reese to bring the matter back for reconsideration, which also carried unanimously with Goodman abstaining and M. McDonald not voting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Recommending
Item 89 – Bill No. 2002-81

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN asked why the proper disclosure form was not filled out. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC answered that he did not know.

There was no further discussion.

(11:05 – 11:07)

2-984

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-83 – Allows the expansion of nonconforming sexually oriented businesses under certain circumstances. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Under the City's zoning regulations, nonconforming businesses, including sexually oriented businesses, are not allowed to expand. This bill will eliminate that restriction for sexually oriented businesses in the M Zoning District that are nonconforming only because of the adoption of a different method of measuring distance.

FIRST AMENDMENT: At its meeting of August 5, 2002, the Recommending Committee considered an amendment to apply the bill's provisions to the C-M District, and to businesses made nonconforming by the intervening location of another sexually oriented business or a protected use. The Committee voted to forward the change, as a First Amendment, to the full Council with no recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/5/2002 Recommending Committee forwarded this bill as a First Amendment to the Full Council at the 8/21/2002 City Council without a recommendation.

First Reading – 7/17/2002; First Publication – 8/9/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-83 - First Amendment

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and Bill ADOPTED as a Second Amendment as Ordinance No. 5504 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Recommending
Item 90 – Bill No. 2002-83

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC read a proposed second amendment.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments asking whether there is any guarantee that allowing the expansion of sexually oriented businesses will either reduce the volume of sexual assault crimes or enhance community morale.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, to look into a similar ordinance for taverns, as there have been situations in Ward 6 where a restricted use has come in after an existing tavern that cannot then expand.

There was no further discussion.

(11:07 – 11:11)

2-1057

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-84 – Allows major auto repair garages in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Currently, major auto repair garages are permitted only in the C-M and M Zoning Districts. On the other hand, auto paint and body repair shops are allowed in the C-2 District by means of special use permit and in connection with auto dealerships. It is believed that major auto repair should have no greater impact than auto paint and body repair, so this bill will allow the use in the C-2 District by means of special use permit.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/4/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/19/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/7/2002; First Publication – 8/23/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-85 – Revises the Town Center Development Standards Manual to allow limited commercial uses in the Medium Density Residential-Town Center District by means of conditional approval. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Medium Density Residential-Town Center (M-TC) District does not currently permit commercial uses. It has been determined that the objectives of the district will be furthered by allowing a limited number of commercial uses, subject to certain standards. This bill will accomplish that change.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/4/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/19/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/7/2002; First Publication – 8/23/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-86 – Revises the development standards applicable to commercial and industrial development. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently contains a number of development standards applicable to commercial and industrial development. This bill will revise and expand those standards, as well as separate them into two distinct code sections. The revised standards are based upon significant input from staff, the development community, and the Planning Commission.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/4/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/19/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/7/2002; First Publication – 8/23/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-87 – Revises the landscape, wall and buffer requirements for development within the City. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will revise the existing landscape, wall and buffer requirements applicable to all types of development within the City. The revised standards are based upon significant input from staff, the development community, and the Planning Commission.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/4/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/19/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/7/2002; First Publication – 8/23/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-88 – Annexation No. A-0063-01 (A) – Property location: 330 feet north of Grand Teton Drive and 680 feet east of Durango Drive; Petitioned by: Cromer 1985 Trust, et al.; Acreage: 2.45 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 330 feet north of Grand Teton Drive and 680 feet east of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 27, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-88 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

9/3/2002 Recommending Committee

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

(11:11 – 11:22)

2-1202

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-89 – Annexation No. A-0018-02 (A) – Property location: On the west side of Buffalo Drive, approximately 120 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 0.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Buffalo Drive, approximately 120 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the City, with no objection by the owner (Las Vegas Valley Water District). The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 27, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-89 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

9/3/2002 Recommending Committee

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

(11:11 – 11:22)

2-1202

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-90 – Approves the “Corporate Limit Adjustment Agreement Between the City of Las Vegas and the City of North Las Vegas” as an amendment or revision to the official plat of the City of Las Vegas. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will approve the “Corporate Limit Adjustment Agreement Between the City of Las Vegas and the City of North Las Vegas” to resolve long-time uncertainty over the Decatur Boulevard alignment. The City Council of the City of North Las Vegas will adopt a similar bill, and the agreement will be recorded.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-90

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

9/3/2002 Recommending Committee

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

(11:11 – 11:22)

2-1202

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-91 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1477 - Tenaya Way and Azure Drive Sponsored by: Step Requirement (\$2,416,532.82 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,416,532.82

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, driveway approaches, traffic signals, storm drains, streetlights, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-91

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

9/3/2002 Recommending Committee

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

(11:11 – 11:22)

2-1202

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-92 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane) Sponsored by: Step Requirement (\$381,165.08 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$381,165.08

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, streetlights, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-92

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

9/3/2002 Recommending Committee

9/4/2002 Council Agenda

(11:11 – 11:13)

2-1202

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:22, RECALLED AT 12:13 TO CONSIDER
ITEM 83, AND RECESSED AGAIN AT 12:14**

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Regarding Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that there are severe problems in this particular area and residents are very concerned, especially because there have been five deaths related to drive-by shootings. He requested that the item be tabled so that he and COMMISSIONER YVONNE ATKINSON GATES are able to meet with the Metropolitan Police to see how the issues facing this particular area can be addressed. He does not oppose the project because he believes it would be a great addition to the neighborhood, but it does not make sense to begin such a project until all the problems have been adequately addressed. STEVEN GENERAL, Architect, Clark County Real Property Management, concurred with tabling the item.

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] be tabled until the applicant can provide a new site plan. He asked that MR. HELMER work with staff, who will put the items back on the agenda once the new site plan is ready.

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant for Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02] and Item 132 [SD-0014-02] and indicated that a request was sent to the Planning Department requesting that these items be tabled. He explained that the corner piece between the proposed church and Lake Mead is in the County and just came up for auction. Representatives of the church were able to acquire that piece and believe that corner would be a better location for the church. He is aware that neighbors have expressed the same desire, and planning staff would prefer the church frontage on Lake Mead as well. He asked that these items be tabled so that they could have time to annex the property into the City and then file revised plans incorporating the properties. He asked that when the annexation comes through that it be fast-tracked.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Item 100**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He asked anyone in need of additional information to call his office.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Required 60-day review regarding the appeal of the Notice and Order to Abate Nuisance/Litter at 1368 Pyramid Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: WILLIE B. JAQUESS, SHIRLEY J. AYO - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The subject property was determined by the Department of Neighborhood Services to be a nuisance because of the various and continuous violations of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code. On April 17, 2002 a Nuisance/Litter Abatement Notice and Order To Comply was sent to the property owner by regular and certified mail. A hearing was held on June 5, 2002 to consider the Appeal to the Notice and Order to Comply filed by Shirley Ayo, regarding the property located at 1368 Pyramid Drive. Today's hearing is the required 60-day review of the June 5, 2002 appeal of the Nuisance/Litter Abatement Notice and Order to Comply.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Nuisance/Litter Abatement Notice and Order to Comply.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Notice of Appeal
4. Chronological List of Events

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 101 – 1368 Pyramid Drive

MINUTES:

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, requested that this item be held in abeyance until September 4, 2002. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred with the request.

There was no discussion.

(1:47)

4-1251

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1333 Eastwood Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: ANTHONY L. CARLSON ET AL - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$3,867.35
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant building; removing the rear shed and filling in the uncovered basement with dirt; removing all high weeds, trash, debris and refuse; trimming the large palm tree; padlocking the south side iron gate and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$3,867.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 102 – 1333 Eastwood Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant building, and removing the shed in the rear. There was a basement that they found when the shed was removed and it was filled with rocks and dirt. High weeds were also removed and a “No Trespassing” sign was installed. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$3,867.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked ACTING CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY what the future of this particular piece of property would be once the ordinance relating to boarded up properties goes into effect. These properties are no longer a danger or nuisance, but still cause blight. ACTING CITY MANAGER SELBY replied that the ordinance requires that a plan be submitted for that property. He asked MR. SEMENZA if the owners were notified, as there is a long list of properties that are being worked on. MR. SEMENZA replied that some have been notified, but this particular owner has not been properly noticed regarding the new ordinance. MAYOR GOODMAN stressed the importance of this ordinance, especially for the redevelopment efforts in all neighborhoods. He requested that more attention be given on this issue because these properties are an aesthetic blight, even after the danger is eradicated. COUNCILMAN REESE commented that a house adjacent to his was rented and when the renters stopped paying rent, the owner abandoned the house. This continues to happen until someone calls the City to issue a complaint.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, agreed with all comments made. There is a home next to his residence that has been abandoned for 16 years. MR. SEMENZA explained that Neighborhood Services is working with the owner to demolish the house MR. FARLOW referred to.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 102 – 1333 Eastwood Drive

MINUTES - Continued:

PATRICIA MARTINELLI PRICE, 3212 Red Rock Street, opined that the owners of these properties should be notified before their homes are cleaned up and demolished. In most cases these homes are rented and when people do not pay the rent, it puts the owner into a difficult situation causing the home to be abandoned.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:47 – 1:54)

4-1278

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1818 Waldman Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: OLUSEYI ALABA - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$1,889.35
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all windows and doors to the guest house, securing the side gates, removing all high grass/weeds, garbage, trash and debris and by cleaning and draining the swimming pool.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,889.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 103 – 1818 Waldman Avenue

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he lives in the neighborhood

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all windows and doors to the guest house, securing the side gates, removing high grass, weeds, garbage, trash and debris and draining and cleaning the swimming pool. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,889.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he would also like to have an abandoned house in his neighborhood demolished.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, commented that the house shown in the video seems to be a lovely home, especially if it is renovated. If she were looking to move she would contact her real estate agent and purchase the home.

PATRICIA MARTINELLI PRICE, 3212 Red Rock Street, noted that she likes the house and asked if it is for sale. COUNCILMAN McDONALD replied that the house is for sale and agreed that this house is beautiful and the jewel of Ward 1.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:54 – 1:57)

4-1519

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 800 Alhambra Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: PAUL M. OLINGER - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,458.60☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Capriati Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house, removing all outside storage including appliances, furniture, auto parts, wood and buckets, removing litter, trash and debris, and high weeds and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,458.60 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 104 – 800 Alhambra Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Capriati Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house, removing all outside storage, including appliances, furniture, auto parts, wood and removing litter, trash and debris and high weeds and posting a “No Trespassing” sign. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,458.60 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:57 – 1:59)

4-1658

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

- EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING
105 Z-0011-00(2) - Ladislao and Estela Hernandez, et al
- EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT
106 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0109-99(2) - D2801 Westwood, Inc.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING
107 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0004-00(1) - Olivette O'Connell
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
108 Z-0068-85(63) - Gateway Office, Limited Liability Company
109 Z-0068-85(64) - Sunrise Mountainview Hospital
- REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING
110 Z-0071-00(3), U-0145-00(2), U-0146-00(2) and U-00147-00(2) - Lena Piccoli-Ostunio on behalf of Outback Steakhouse, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
111 Z-0033-97(34) - National Group #1, Limited Liability Company
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
112 U-0081-02 - Corey Morley
113 U-0082-02 - Corey Morley
114 U-0083-02 - Corey Morley
- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
115 MSP-0004-02 - Johnson Family Trust, et al
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
116 VAC-0052-02 - Patrick and Carla Cavanaugh

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 21, 2002

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

117 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0037-02 - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company

118 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0038-02 - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0037-02 AND V-0038-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

119 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0073-02 - I and K Holdings, Limited Liability Company

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

120 V-0042-02 - Cantwell Anderson, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0042-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

121 U-0077-02 - Cantwell Anderson, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0042-02 AND U-0077-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

122 Z-0076-83(2) - Cantwell Anderson, Inc.

ONE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

123 U-0043-94(4) - Kennedy Oswald on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

124 U-0043-94(3) - Village, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

125 U-0055-89(3) - Jermac on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

126 U-0018-95(2) - Z & Z Investment Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

127 U-0044-98(1) - Leonor Delosantos

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

128 U-0036-87(3) - Vista Holding, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Yesco

129 U-0005-97(1) - Rainbow/Charleston Mini Mart, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

130 U-0029-02 - Eric D. and Joseph Cruz on behalf of Nevada Homes Group, Inc.

VARIANCE RELATED TO U-0029-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

131 V-0022-02 - Eric D. and Joseph Cruz on behalf of Nevada Homes Group, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0029-02 AND V-0022-02 -
PUBLIC HEARING

132 **SD-0014-02** - Eric D. and Joseph Cruz on behalf of Nevada Homes Group, Inc.



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 21, 2002

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 133 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0167-01** - Gilbert Levy on behalf of Reagan National Advertising
- 134 **U-0067-02** - ATS 1998 Trust, et al on behalf of Mulugeta Bour
- 135 **U-0072-02** - Admoon Yalda, et al on behalf of Kosa Nadir
- 136 **U-0074-02** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Peter Piper, Inc.
- 137 **U-0075-02** - Farshid and Marian Broomandan on behalf of Living Water Baptist Church
- 138 **U-0078-02** - Charles Jarret on behalf of Southwest Engineering
- 139 **U-0079-02** - Nora Mead on behalf of United Methodist Social Ministries
- 140 **U-0085-02** - D.R. Horton, Inc.

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 141 **Z-0053-02** - Ramon Pardo

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0053-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 142 **V-0044-02** - Ramon Pardo

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 143 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0051-01** - Smoke Ranch Jones Partnership

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0051-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 144 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0093-01** - Smoke Ranch Jones Partnership

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0051-01 AND Z-0093-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 145 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0093-01(1)** - Smoke Ranch Jones Partnership

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 146 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0007-02** - County of Clark (Parks and Recreation)

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 147 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0017-02** - Gibbs Family Trust on behalf of Mike Helmer

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0017-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 148 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0040-02** - Gibbs Family Trust on behalf of Mike Helmer

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 149 **GPA-0025-02** - Trop-Jones on behalf of U.S. Homes Corporation
- 150 **GPA-0011-02** - City of Las Vegas



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 21, 2002

- 151 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0011-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0063-02 - City of Las Vegas
- 152 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
 GPA-0019-02 - City of Las Vegas
- 153 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0019-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0043-02 - City of Las Vegas
- 154 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
 GPA-0022-02 - Pentecostal Temple Church of God in Christ
- 155 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0022-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0047-02 - Pentecostal Temple Church of God in Christ
- 156 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RELATED TO GPA-0022-02 AND Z-0047-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0047-02(1) - Pentecostal Temple Church of God in Christ
- 157 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
 GPA-0024-02 - Etor 1981 Trust on behalf of Gus Merhi
- 158 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0024-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0049-02 - Etor 1981 Trust on behalf of Gus Merhi
- 159 SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0024-02 AND Z-0049-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 U-0076-02 - Etor 1981 Trust on behalf of Gus Merhi
- 160 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0024-02, U-0076-02 AND Z-0049-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0049-02(1) - Etor 1981 Trust on behalf of Gus Merhi
- 161 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
 GPA-0027-02 - Log Cabin Way, Limited Partnership on behalf of Greystone Homes
- 162 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0027-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
 Z-0051-02 - Log Cabin Way, Limited Partnership on behalf of Greystone Homes

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Five

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 21, 2002

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0027-02 AND Z-0051-02 -
PUBLIC HEARING

163 Z-0051-02(1) - Log Cabin Way, Limited Partnership on behalf of Greystone Homes

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

164 Z-0017-90(38) - Peccole 1982 Trust 45 on behalf of Wal-Mart

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - Z-0011-00(2) - LADISLAO AND ESTELA HERNANDEZ, ET AL - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0011-00) on 0.51 acres on the west side of Eastern Avenue, approximately 70 feet north of Wilson Avenue (APN: 139-26-811-071, 072 and 073), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Offices and Parking), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 105 and 106 subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 on Item 106 as follows:

1. **If this Special Use Permit is not exercised by May 16, 2003, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.**
– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 106 [U-0109-99(2)] because the attorneys that represent him in his private matters are involved in a lawsuit with the subject property

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, STEVEN MACK, owns a Super Pawn near this location relating to Item 105 [Z-0011-00(2)]. He has not discussed this item with him, and this will not have any impact on the store; therefore, he would be voting on this item.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 105 – Z-0011-00(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Condition #1 on Item 106 [U-0109-99(2)] needs to be amended to read that if this Special Use Permit is not exercised by May 16, 2003, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another extension of time is granted. He pointed out that the applicant agreed to the amendment. MR. GENZER clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that this would grant the applicant a second Extension of Time. By amending it, they have one year from the actual expiration date. If the condition is left as currently written, the applicant would get another year from today, which will give them an additional three months.

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, concurred with the amendment to Condition #1 related to Item 106 [U-0109-00(2)]. The expected completion date is May of 2003.

There was no further discussion.

(1:59 – 2:03)

4-1725

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance with the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0011-00) and all site-related actions, as required by the Planning and Development Department.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - **U-0109-99(2)** - **D2801 WESTWOOD, INC.** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Special Use Permit (U-0109-99) WHICH ALLOWED A TAVERN at 2801 Westwood Drive (APN: 162-08-604-001), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 105 and 106 subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 on Item 106 as follows:

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised by May 16, 2003, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 106 [U-0109-99(2)] because the attorneys that represent him in his private matters are involved in a lawsuit with the subject property

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, STEVEN MACK, owns a Super Pawn near this location relating to Item 105 [Z-0011-00(2)]. He has not discussed this item with him, and this will not have any impact on the store; therefore, he would be voting on this item.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 106 – U-0109-99(2)

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Condition #1 on Item 106 [U-0109-99(2)] needs to be amended to read that if this Special Use Permit is not exercised by May 16, 2003, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another extension of time is granted. He pointed out that the applicant agreed to the amendment. MR. GENZER clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that this would grant the applicant a second Extension of Time. By amending it, they have one year from the actual expiration date. If the condition is left as currently written, the applicant would get another year from today, which will give them an additional three months.

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, concurred with the amendment to Condition #1 related to Item 106 [U-0109-00(2)]. The expected completion date is May of 2003.

There was no further discussion.

(1:59 – 2:03)

4-1725

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of the original Special Use Permit (U-0109-99).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - **Z-0004-00(1) - OLIVETTE O'CONNELL** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning (Z-0004-00) FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 0.5 acres at 1217 West Owens Avenue (APN: 139-28-502-007), (PROPOSED USE: FAST-FOOD RESTAURANT), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 9/4/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NANCY ANN LENOCO, 2779 North Rampart Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant, and stated that she represented the applicant in the purchase of the property. It was zoned and represented as C-1 and it was under a Resolution of Intent. She did not know this at the time of the closing and found out three days before it expired. The applicant purchased the property with the intent of developing it commercial.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated his concern upon seeing a for-sale sign on this parcel once the property was rezoned. This led him to believe that the applicant is not interested in developing a fast-food restaurant. MS. LENOCO replied that when her client discovered that they had to reapply, she wanted to see if someone was interested in the property. The sign will come down, if this application is approved. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that he was

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – Z-0004-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

unable to contact the applicant and has not heard anything about her intentions regarding the proposed fast food restaurant. He has a problem with this Extension of Time. Five months ago, there was a lot of support for the proposed restaurant. Now the property has switched hands and it is unclear as to the applicant's intentions. MS. LENOCO rebutted that her client genuinely came in wanting to help revitalize the area and put in a fast food restaurant.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed the importance of communication and being kept informed on the status of the project. He also expressed concern about the huge vagrant camp on the property under the trees. MS. LENOCO noted that the trees could be incorporated into the plan or they can be removed. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested the item be abeyed and asked MS. LENECO to meet with him so that all these issues could be addressed.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, stated that she supported the original owners and has been waiting ever since for the fast food restaurant. However, she no longer supports it because a fast food restaurant may never come. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the applicant will be given two weeks to present a proposal for the fast food restaurant, and he in turn will inform MS. TURNER of the proposal. As a neighborhood, they will decide if it is what they want to see in their neighborhood.

There was no further discussion.

(2:03 – 2:10)

4-1894

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - Z-0068-85(63) - GATEWAY OFFICE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Site Development Plan Review FOR A TWO-STORY OFFICE COMPLEX on 1.39 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Smoke Ranch Road and the Buffalo Drainage Channel (a portion of APN: 138-15-410-008), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

BRENT DYER, 1808 Nevada Pulse Court, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all staff's recommendations.

There was no discussion.

(2:10 – 2:11)

4-2170

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0068-85(63)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit to depict wheel stops along all landscape planters and pedestrian walkways and zero curb at handicap access aisles.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. A maximum of the 3,000 square foot of the proposed 19,434 square foot may be medical or dental office.
12. The trash enclosure shall be fully enclosed and architecturally compatible with the office building on site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0068-85(63)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

13. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
14. This site shall connect to public sewer upon development. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
16. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-85 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0068-85(64)** - **SUNRISE MOUNTAINVIEW HOSPITAL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the Landscape Planter Finger Requirement FOR A PARKING LOT ADDITION TO MOUNTAINVIEW HOSPITAL on 2.14 acres at 3100 North Tenaya Way (a portion of APN: 138-15-510-005), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

DARCY WIMSEL, 4170 South Decatur Boulevard #B-5, concurred with all staff's recommendations and conditions.

There was no discussion.

(2:11 – 2:13)

4-2223

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0068-85(64)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit to depict wheel stops along all landscape planters and pedestrian walkways and zero curb at handicap access aisles.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0068-85(64)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-85 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0071-00(3), U-0145-00(2), U-0146-00(2) AND U-0147-00(2) - LENA PICCOLI-OSTUNIO ON BEHALF OF OUTBACK STEAKHOUSE, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition to delete Condition of Approval #11 of Rezoning (Z-0071-00), Conditions #9 of Special Use Permit (U-0145-00, U-0146-00, U-0147-00) and Condition #9 of Site Development Plan Review [Z-0071-00(1)] which required that no future Parking Variance be granted to allow for additional construction within an 8.26 acre commercial center located on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Odette Lane (APN: 163-05-502-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff Recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – Z-0071-00(3)

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He asked anyone in need of additional information to call his office.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0033-97(34)** - **NATIONAL GROUP #1, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A SERVICE STATION AND FAST-FOOD RESTAURANT on 1.69 acres north of Cheyenne Avenue between the Beltway alignment and Siegfried and Roy Parkway (APN: 137-12-401-003, 023, and 032), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2) vote and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2) vote and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the recommendations of the Planning Commission and staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:13 – 2:14)

4-2304

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0033-97(34)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Traffic Engineering staff to ascertain the viability of the proposed driveway cut on Cheyenne Avenue.
4. A landscaping plan meeting Lone Mountain plant palette requirements must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
8. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. The Cheyenne/Beltway Commercial Subdivision Final Map shall record prior to the issuance of any permits for this site in order to provide legal access and guarantee offsite improvements for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0033-97(34)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-33-97(17), Z-24-99(6), the Cheyenne/Beltway Commercial Subdivision, and all other applicable site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0081-02 - COREY MORLEY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE adjacent to the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-01-101-005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****2****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters from Rev. Leonardo R. Bugtong, Estella G. Bugtong and Douglas Hirschey

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 – U-0081-02

MINUTES – Continued:

that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people that are moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road, and to call his office if anyone has additional questions.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO U-0081-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0082-02 - COREY MORLEY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR GASOLINE SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE at the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-01-101-005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Douglas Hirschey
5. Submitted after final agenda – Support letter from Rev. Leonardo R. Bugtong, Estella G. Bugtong

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and **TABLE** Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – U-0082-02

MINUTES a- Continued:

to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people that are moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road, and to call his office if anyone has additional questions.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO U-0081-02 AND U-0082-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0083-02 - COREY MORLEY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A TAVERN adjacent to the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-01-101-005), U(Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
4

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters from Douglas Hirschey, Rev. Leonardo R. Bugtong, Estella G. Bugtong and Stanley Judd

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – U-0083-02

MINUTES – Continued:

to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people that are moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road, and to call his office if anyone has additional questions.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-0004-02 - JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST, ET AL** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR A CVS PHARMACY AND MARIO'S MARKET on 2.09 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Martin L. King Boulevard (APN: 139-21-701-001, 002, and 004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer & Collins, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and accepted the recommendations and conditions of Planning Commission and staff.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that the design is similar to a pole sign, and the area residents do not like pole signs.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that the residents are pleased with the direction the City has taken in cleaning up this area, and the City, in turn, is pleased with the sign plan.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:14 – 2:15)

4-2346

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – MSP-0004-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A commercial subdivision shall be recorded on the subject site prior to the issuance of any sign permits.
2. Elevations of the Mario's Market building must be submitted and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
3. Address numbers shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department.
4. No temporary signage shall be allowed on the entire site without the approval of a Temporary Sign Permit from the Planning and Development Department.
5. All existing temporary signage shall be removed or approved through a Temporary Sign Permit application prior to the issuance of any sign permits for this site.

Public Works

6. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-18-00, the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, and all other site-related actions.
7. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0052-02 - PATRICK AND CARLA CAVANAUGH - Petition to vacate a portion of a public drainage easement at 1112 Salem Rose Court, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused and L.B. McDONALD abstaining because this property is located within her personal neighborhood

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicants were not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:16 – 2:17)

4-2433

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – VAC-0052-02

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall eliminate only the western 10 feet of the existing 20 foot wide Public Drainage Easement; the eastern 10 feet shall remain a public drainage easement. (Public Works Department)
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (Public Works Department)
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (Planning and Development Department)
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works Department)
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development Department)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0037-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Variance to allow three parking spaces where the proposed uses require 14 parking spaces on property located at 2111 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-410-072), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at

6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He asked anyone in need of additional information to call his office.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – V-0037-02

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE RELATED TO V-0037-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0038-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Variance to allow an existing building zero feet from the side property line, where five feet is the minimum setback required on property located at 1205 Exley Avenue (APN: 162-02-410-071), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN

explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – V-0038-02

MINUTES - Continued:

land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He asked anyone in need of additional information to call his office.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0037-02 AND V-0038-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0073-02 - I AND K HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW A PSYCHIC ARTS BUSINESS on property located at 2111 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-410-072), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that letters were received by the Planning Department requesting that Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)] be held in abeyance until 9/18/2002, and Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002. He also indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN was going to request that Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02] and Item 114 [U-0083-02] be held in abeyance to the 9/18/2002 City Council meeting to allow a related site plan review to catch up. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the project would be located within the Lone Mountain Master Plan community and many people moving in are not aware of all the different zoning and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – U-0073-02

MINUTES - Continued:

land uses in the area. Therefore, the City, together with the developer, will be hosting a neighborhood meeting, on Thursday August 29, at 6:30 p.m. at the Sheila Tarr Elementary School located on Gilmore Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He asked anyone in need of additional information to call his office.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0042-02 - CANTWELL ANDERSON, INC. - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 159 PARKING SPACES WHERE 306 PARKING SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY RESIDENCE at 525 East Bonanza Road (APN: 139-27-805-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****5****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. PowerPoint was shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM CANTWELL, Cantwell Anderson, Inc., 733 South Hindry Avenue, Inglewood, California 90301, outlined his company's public/private partnership in U.S. Vets with the United States Veterans Initiative. The purpose of this organization is to serve veterans across the country. He presented renderings of California facilities to put this project into scale perspective and to demonstrate the organization's sensitivity for design and renovation. One of the projects represented a \$20 million capital investment with debt financing and public sector activity. The primary private partner is John Hancock Life Insurance, representing a \$7.2 million capital investment. The first phase of the project displayed is complete and fully occupied, housing 500 people, including 100 children with a comprehensive child care center. The facility also includes a school operated by the Long Beach Unified School District. He presented depictions of the units themselves and renderings of the 200-unit expansion. The organization was presented a national award recognizing this project through John Hancock Life.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – V-0042-02

MINUTES - Continued:

The project in Las Vegas is less ambitious to start. The Meadows Inn has 150 rooms, but very few redeeming features. It is expected that the structure will be dramatically improved with new parking to the west end. A rendering was provided to respond to the questions raised at the Planning Commission about internal/external common areas. This type of project represents a huge solution to an existing problem in the area. Their other nine facilities have used basic housing as a strategy for effecting great results. Cantwell Anderson was asked to come to Las Vegas by the Department of Veterans.

There are already veterans living in the facilities, and dramatic changes have already been made. Resources have been brought to the facility that are unique and specific to veterans, thereby unburdening the existing local resources. It is expected that residents will become active, tax-paying participants in the Las Vegas system.

MAYOR GOODMAN discussed with MR. CANTWELL that renovation will begin almost immediately and the initial phase completed in about a year. After completion of the first stage, they will finance the second of the two stages. MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that this is very needed and must be completed. MR. CANTWELL explained that the long-term lease created financing difficulties. A transaction has been agreed upon with the Elks organization, who support this project. With that transaction, financing can be completed and renovation commenced.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned the federal funding available for veterans. MR. CANTWELL responded that there is funding that went to the State which funds 14 full-time people. There is additional Department of Labor funding specifically to awarded veterans for job development. There is per diem support from the Department of Veterans Affairs which is added to the Las Vegas Veterans Administration and will provide about \$1 million of support services funding. COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that this information addresses comments raised regarding veterans concerns during discussion of the World Market item earlier in the agenda.

MR. CANTWELL explained to MAYOR GOODMAN that the rooms will rent for \$400 per month, but there is no rent until the resident has been assisted in finding employment and is in a position to pay rent. There is screening to identify qualified and motivated veterans.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the focus has always been to look to the Housing and Urban Development Department for answers, but this program proves that there are significant resources that Las Vegas can compete for through the Veterans Administration. Since a third of the homeless are veterans, the City can make a significant difference in addressing homeless issues by pursuing these resources.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – V-0042-02

MINUTES - Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, concurred with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD. He questioned whether psychiatric services will be provided to the resident veterans. MR. CANTWELL responded that it is a case-managed total package. MR. FARLOW encouraged that the project be scaled up.

PATRICIA MARTINELLI PRICE, 3212 Red Rock Street, stated that as a homeless advocate in the community for 28 years, she described the program as incredible. It is appalling that veterans, children, women and youth are not taken care of and are homeless. She thanked MR. CANTWELL for taking this initiative and the Council for supporting the program.

BOB REEVE, 3875 South Jones, appeared as the owner of the property to the north of this project and very much supported the project, which has such potential. This and the just-approved Neon Sign Museum action will hopefully go a long way to change the look of Las Vegas Boulevard.

RICHARD C. HILL, 1025 Allure Drive, appeared as a representative for the Elks Lodge, owner of the property. The Elks have worked closely with the applicant for two years, fully support the program and encourage approval of the project.

ED ACHISON, Program Director for Homeless Veterans with the Department of Veterans Affairs, thanked those in support of the program. He has personally been involved with the program since inception. He would make himself available to any member of the Council at any time to answer questions regarding this program.

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, confirmed with MR. CANTWELL that the units would be opened now and the second phase opened later. He insisted that the large sign be removed from the property as it is unnecessary.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. GALLEGGO for bringing some issues to his attention so that they could be resolved with MR. CANTWELL.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 120 [V-0042-02], Item 121 [U-0077-02] and Item 122 [Z-0076-83(2)] took place under Item 120 [V-0042-02].

(2:17 – 2:34)

4-2476

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – V-0042-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Special Use Permit (U-0077-02) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0076-83(2)].
2. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0042-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0077-02** - **CANTWELL ANDERSON, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY RESIDENCE at 525 East Bonanza Road (APN: 139-27-805-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM CANTWELL, Cantwell Anderson, Inc., 733 South Hindry Avenue, Inglewood, California 90301, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 120 [V-0042-02], Item 121 [U-0077-02] and Item 122 [Z-0076-83(2)] took place under Item 120 [V-0042-02].

(2:17 – 2:34)

4-2476

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – U-0077-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Variance (V-0042-02) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0076-83(2)].
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
4. The tavern use currently operating on this site shall cease prior to the approval of building permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0042-02 AND U-0077-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0076-83(2)** - **CANTWELL ANDERSON, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction of the On-site Landscaping Requirements FOR A SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY RESIDENCE on 1.58 acres at 525 East Bonanza Road (APN: 139-27-805-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM CANTWELL, Cantwell Anderson, Inc., 733 South Hindry Avenue, Inglewood, California 90301, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 120 [V-0042-02], Item 121 [U-0077-02] and Item 122 [Z-0076-83(2)] took place under Item 120 [V-0042-02].

(2:17 – 2:34)
4-2476

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – Z-0076-83(2)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The existing trash enclosure shall be removed and the replacement trash enclosure shall be sited to not face Bonanza Road, and shall be completely enclosed.
2. A Special Use Permit (U-0077-02) and a Variance (V-0042-02) shall be approved by the City Council and all conditions apply.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two from date final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect wheel stops and handicap parking in accordance with city standards.
6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications -related to the site.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised and, approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a three-foot wide landscape planter along the north property line excepting the west 150 feet; the incorporation of the existing trees; minimum 24-inch box perimeter trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters; and twenty additional 24-inch box trees and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – Z-0076-83(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoebox' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission or City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
13. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
14. Any property line wall shall be decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
15. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
16. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

17. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
18. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – Z-0076-83(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

19. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

20. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
21. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site.
22. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Bonanza Road public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ONE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0043-94(4) - **KENNEDY OSWALD ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the denial by the Planning Commission of a required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 55 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 3900 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-31-801-012), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the One-Year Required Review of an Approved Special Use Permit subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 to a one-year review - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, indicated that the area has not changed significantly since the original approval of the use and requested the Council grant the appeal.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, responded that the area will be the new Las Vegas Springs Reserve and both this sign and the sign under the next agenda item should be removed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – U-0043-94(4)

MINUTES - Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, clarified that because of anticipated changes to the area with the opening of the Reserve, Condition #1 should be amended to a one-year review. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that while the intent is not to remove a billboard, there are rumors of changes within the billboard industry. In addition, there are pending redevelopment efforts in the area. MS. COTA concurred with amending the condition to a one-year review.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:34 – 2:36)

4-3374

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0043-94(3) - **VILLAGE, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the denial by the Planning Commission of a required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 55 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 3920 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-31-801-011), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the One-Year Required Review of an Approved Special Use Permit subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 to a one-year review - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, stated that the area has not changed significantly since the original approval of the use and requested the Council grant the appeal.

AL GALLEO, citizen of Las Vegas, protested that his blood pressure keeps going up because the Planning Commission denies applications and the City Council then grants the appeals. People appear at the Planning Commission meetings because they are held at night. If the Council is going to disregard

the Planning Commission's recommendations, they should just be disbanded and the Council can grant the applications.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – U-0043-94(3)

MINUTES - Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, concurred with MR. GALLEGOS' comments.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, recommended that, because the same situation exists with this sign as with the last application, Condition #1 should be amended to a one-year review.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:36 – 2:38)

4-3501

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0055-89(3) - JERMAC ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the denial by the Planning Commission of a required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED TWO 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS adjacent to the northeast corner of Vegas Drive and Oran K. Gragson Highway (APN:138-22-803-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-2-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and indicated that there has not been any significant change in this particular area. She concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – U-0055-89(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:35 – 1:36)

4-831

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0018-95(2) - **Z & Z INVESTMENT COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the denial by the Planning Commission of a required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2350 North Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-23-110-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Rosie Mania

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 to a two-year review – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, owns Timbers Bar and Grill near this application, but does not believe that it will affect the business; therefore he will be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and asked for approval as the area has not significantly changed.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that the billboard is out of place and does not belong in this area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – U-0018-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that this billboard has been at this location long before any residential or commercial development. He has not heard any concerns from area residents.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, based on COUNCILMAN MACK'S statements, recommended that Condition #1 be changed to a two-year review.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:36 – 1:38)

4-871

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0044-98(1) - LEONOR DELOSANTOS - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY FOR 10 RESIDENTS at 4133 Jory Trail (APN: 138-02-811-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, STEVEN MACK, owns a Super Pawn near this application, but feels that this review will not impact his store. He has not spoken to his brother about this issue and believes he does not have a conflict and will be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:38 – 1:40)

4-938

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – U-0044-98(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The use shall comply with all previous Conditions of Approval for the Special Use Permit (U-0044-98).
2. The Special Use Permit shall be subject to no further review.
3. The facility must comply on an ongoing basis with all governmental licensing requirements.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0036-87(3) - **VISTA HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF YESCO** - Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 1999 North Rancho Drive (APN: 139-19-703-005), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused and REESE abstaining in an abundance of caution since his two nephews work for Young Electric Sign Company and may or may not be connected to this item

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

J. R. WILLIAMS, Young Electric Sign Company, 5119 South Cameron, concurred with the recommendations and conditions of Planning Commission and staff.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY recognized the fact that a sign was removed at Bonanza and Rancho.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – U-0036-87(3)

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:38 – 2:40)
4-3658

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0005-97(1) - RAINBOW/CHARLESTON MINI MART, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Five Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0005-97) WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 1080 South Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-34-819-014), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:40 – 2:41)

4-3765

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – U-0005-97(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in four years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0029-02 - ERIC D. AND JOSEPH CRUZ ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP, INC. - Appeal filed by Nevada Homes Group, Inc. from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP adjacent to the west side of Shadow Mountain Place, approximately 180 feet south of Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-24-304-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

33
12

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Nevada Homes Group, Inc.
5. Approval letter from A. Barron, Board Member of the Charleston Heights Townhouse Assn.
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Olga C. Holman
7. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters with 11 addresses
8. Received after City Council meeting protest letter from Robert Marshall

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant for Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02] and Item 132 [SD-0014-02] and indicated that a request was sent to the Planning Department requesting that these items be tabled. He explained that the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – U-0029-02

MINUTES – Continued:

corner piece between the proposed church and Lake Mead is in the County and just came up for auction. Representatives of the church were able to acquire that piece and believe that corner would be a better location for the church. He is aware that neighbors have expressed the same desire, and planning staff would prefer the church frontage on Lake Mead as well. He asked that these items be tabled so that they could have time to annex the property into the City and then file revised plans incorporating the properties. He asked that when the annexation comes through that it be fast-tracked.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO U-0029-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0022-02 - ERIC D. AND JOSEPH CRUZ ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 45-FOOT REAR SETBACK WHERE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS REQUIRE AN 80-FOOT REAR SETBACK on 0.87 acres adjacent to the west side of Shadow Mountain Place, approximately 180 feet south of Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-24-304-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED USE: CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****33****City Council Meeting****13****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Protest letter from A. Barron, Board Member of the Charleston Heights Townhouse Assn.
5. Received after City Council meeting protest letter from Robert Marshall
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Olga C. Holman
7. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters with 11 addresses
8. Received after City Council meeting protest letter from Robert Marshall

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 131 – V-0022-02

MINUTES:

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant for Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02] and Item 132 [SD-0014-02] and indicated that a request was sent to the Planning Department requesting that these items be tabled. He explained that the corner piece between the proposed church and Lake Mead is in the County and just came up for auction. Representatives of the church were able to acquire that piece and believe that corner would be a better location for the church. He is aware that neighbors have expressed the same desire, and planning staff would prefer the church frontage on Lake Mead as well. He asked that these items be tabled so that they could have time to annex the property into the City and then file revised plans incorporating the properties. He asked that when the annexation comes through that it be fast-tracked.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0029-02 AND V-0022-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0014-02 - ERIC D. AND JOSEPH CRUZ ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP on 0.87 acres adjacent to the west side of Shadow Mountain Place, approximately 180 feet south of Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-24-304-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****33****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant for Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02] and Item 132 [SD-0014-02] and indicated that a request was sent to the Planning Department requesting that these items be tabled. He explained that the corner piece between the proposed church and Lake Mead is in the County and just came up for auction. Representatives of the church were able to acquire that piece and believe that corner would be a better location for the church. He is aware that neighbors have expressed the same desire, and planning staff would prefer the church frontage on Lake Mead as well. He asked that

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – SD-0014-02

MINUTES – Continued:

these items be tabled so that they could have time to annex the property into the City and then file revised plans incorporating the properties. He asked that when the annexation comes through that it be fast-tracked.

(1:09 – 1:21)

3-14

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0167-01** - **GILBERT LEVY ON BEHALF OF REAGAN NATIONAL ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Reagan National Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 24-FOOT BY 28-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 401 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-27-401-016), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance from Jay H. Brown

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0067-02 - ATS 1998 TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF MULUGETA BOUR - Appeal filed by Gary Hayes on behalf of ATS 1998 Trust, et al from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED MARKET (4TH STREET MARKET) at 113 North Fourth Street (APN: 139-34-510-028), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #76)** The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****5****City Council Meeting****4****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****8****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Attorney Garry L. Hayes
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Jim Shoughro
6. Submitted at City Council meeting – Attorney Hayes submitted a 38-page information packet and photographs of the convenience store
7. Submitted at City Council meeting – Three support letters from area residents

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Denied the Appeal; thereby DENYING the Special Use Permit – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – U-0067-02

MINUTES:

APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY GARRY HAYES, 3777 Pecos-McLeod
MULUGETA BOUR, 8316 West Rochelle
PHILIP COHEN, 1905 Plaza de Cordero
RAY JONES, Alta Drive
ABU KALI
WILLIAM ALDRIDGE, 12 year downtown resident
STEVEN REMSON, 406 Fourth Street
DAN CONTREARAS, Bonanza Village
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:41 – 3:09)

5-82

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0072-02** - **ADMOON YALDA, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KOSA NADIR** - Appeal filed by Kosa Nadir from the denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION at 1510 East Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-02-411-019), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #77)** The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Nasir Kosa
5. Support letters from Raoul Dixon and Philip & Maria Delangis
6. Received after final agenda – Letter from Nasir Kosa requesting an abeyance
7. Received after final agenda – Protest letter from Isabel Lopez

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(1:09 – 1:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0074-02 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF PETER PIPER, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR at 7981 West Tropical Parkway (a portion of APN: 125-28-713-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that his sons are very excited about this project coming forward into this area.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:40 – 1:41)

4-999

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – U-0074-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for the Restaurant Service Bar use.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0075-02 - FARSHID AND MARIAN BROOMANDAN ON BEHALF OF LIVING WATER BAPTIST CHURCH - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH/ HOUSE OF WORSHIP adjacent to the east side of Sandhill Road, approximately 620 feet north of Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-601-006), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PASTOR ROGER BATAMAN, Living Water Baptist Church, 1109 Coldstream Drive, concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:09 – 3:10)

5-987

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – U-0075-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. A multi-use transportation trail, meeting the requirements of the Transportation Trails Element, shall be provided along the Las Vegas Wash drainage channel. Its location and construction shall be coordinated with the Public Works Flood Control Section.
3. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for Church/House of Worship use.
4. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

6. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Sandhill Road prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
7. Provide a plan showing how access can be provided to the remnant parcel to the east of the site, or provide written proof that the owner of such parcel does not require access to this parcel.
8. Construct half-street improvements on Sandhill Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
9. No structures shall encroach into the existing 65-foot wide drainage easement along the west edge of this site and the south side of the drainage channel.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – U-0075-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The proposed driveway shall be opposite or offset a minimum of 125 feet from the centerline of Proclamation Street, unless otherwise specifically allowed in writing by the City Traffic Engineer.
11. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0078-02 - CHARLES JARRET ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWEST ENGINEERING - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 8.56 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Bradley Road and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-24-601-007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single Family Residential), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked if sidewalks are required as part of the conditions. If not, they should be. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, replied that sidewalks are not required on private streets, but they will be required to install sidewalks on public streets.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that MR. FARLOW brought up a good point. Areas represented by him, COUNCILMEN McDONALD and WEEKLY do not have sidewalks. It becomes a problem when the homes are sold and the new owners ask for sidewalks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – U-0078-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that gated communities are encouraged on most private roads.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:41 – 1:44)

4-1039

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private street shall be subject to all of the conditions specified in Section 19A.04.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The private street shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
4. The City is permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.
5. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0025-02) and all other subsequent site related actions.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

7. Private streets shall be identified as “Public Drainage Easements to be privately maintained” and shall also provide public sewer easements.
8. A Homeowners Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – U-0078-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. The proposed 40 foot wide “special design” for the private streets is acceptable providing no sidewalks are proposed within the 40-foot width.
10. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0079-02 - NORA MEAD ON BEHALF OF UNITED METHODIST SOCIAL MINISTRIES - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SOCIAL SERVICE PROVIDER at 1551 South Commerce Street (APN: 162-03-210-008), C-M (Commercial/ Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LINDA REYNOLDS, 3939 Briarcrest Court, concurred with the conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that this is a wonderful applicant who will do a good job at this location.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:10 – 3:11)

5-1039

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – U-0079-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0085-02 - D.R. HORTON, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit and a Waiver of the conditions requiring paved parking and prohibiting temporary access from a Secondary Collector Roadway FOR A TEMPORARY REAL ESTATE SALES OFFICE adjacent to the southeast corner of Bradley Road and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-24-710-027, 028, 029, 030, 031, 032, 033 and 034), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single Family Residential), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that his staff contacted the applicant but they could not be present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified with BOB GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, that a condition will be imposed requesting that the original scheme be restored after the temporary use is completed.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – U-0085-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:44 – 1:47)

4-1150

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The use shall expire two years from the date of building permit approval or whenever sales are completed, whichever occurs first.
2. Upon termination of the use, all temporary access improvements from the site to, and including, the abutting street(s) shall be removed and replaced with permanent access improvements that meet all City standards, as required by the Department of Public Works.
3. All development must be in conformance with the submitted plot plan and floor plan.
4. Any signage for this use must first be approved in writing by the Planning and Development Department.
5. A minimum of five on-site parking spaces shall be provided on a surface of chat, and the parking provided shall be in compliance with ADA parking requirements. The ADA parking space must be provided on a paved surface.

Public Works

6. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements proposed to be located in the Deer Springs Way public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
7. Prior to the issuance of any permits related to this temporary use, all requirements to remove any temporary improvements and replace with permanent improvements must be complied with or such future compliance must be guaranteed by an approved performance security method(s), if allowed.
8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Meister Park North #1, Z-57-00, U-87-00, and all other applicable site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0053-02 - RAMON PARDO** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 0.17 acres at 1650 East Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-02-411-032), PROPOSED USE: COMMERCIAL, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RAMON PARDO, 4426 Harmon Court, concurred with the conditions, including the one-year review.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that he has discussed concerns with the parking reduction and the use creating future problems for the street behind this business. He requested that MR. PARDO commit for the record that he will not allow his employees to park on that street to the rear of this building. MR. PARDO concurred and agreed as well to a six-month review after the date of occupancy.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 141 – Z-0053-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion of Item 141 [Z-0053-02] and Item 142 [V-0044-02] took place under Item 141 [Z-0053-02].

(3:11 – 3:15)

5-1085

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

Public Works

2. Meet with the Right-of-Way Section of Public Works to resolve right-of-way issues adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the Right-of-Way Section.
3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of

on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 141 – Z-0053-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0053-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0044-02 - RAMON PARDO** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 9 PARKING SPACES WHERE 23 SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED FOR A 3,880 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL BUILDING on 0.17 acres at 1650 East Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-02-411-032), R-2 (Medium Low Density Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Support letter from Philip & Maria Delangis

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition #1 to a six-month review after the date of the issuance of the certificate of occupancy; and the following added condition:

- Applicant shall ensure that employees do not park on the residential street north of the site – **UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RAMON PARDO, 4426 Harmon Court, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – V-0044-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 141 [Z-0053-02] and Item 142 [V-0044-02] took place under Item 141 [Z-0053-02].

(3:11 – 3:15)

5-1085

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Variance shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the Variance to be revoked. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs for the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the Variance be revoked.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0053-02) and all other subsequent actions.
3. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0051-01 - SMOKE RANCH JONES PARTNERSHIP** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on 0.53 acres north of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 150 feet west of Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-14-802-009), Ward 6 (Mack) [NEW: Ward 5 (Weekly)]. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – STRICKEN - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RAPINER CHIMO, 7469 Orange Haze, indicated that all the areas of concern have been resolved with COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that the project is now located within his Ward as a result of redistricting and pointed out that this applicant also owns the adjacent convenience store. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, clarified that a recent code change permits self-service carwashes in C-1 by way of a special use permit and makes the general plan amendment unnecessary, which may be stricken. The C-2 request on the companion rezoning item is no longer needed; therefore, the request can be amended to C-1, and a condition requiring a special use permit application can be submitted and held as a public hearing through the Planning Commission and City Council. It should be added to the companion site development plan review. MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed

that the staff recommendations on the three companion applications were acceptable to the applicant. With regard to the adjacent 24-hour ARCO AM/PM, a 24-hour surveillance system will be installed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – GPA-0051-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified that the Planning Commission previously heard the three applications.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion of Item 143 [GPA-0051-01], Item 144 [Z-0093-01] and Item 145 [Z-0093-01(1)] took place under Item 143 [GPA-0051-01].

(3:15 – 3:20)

5-1216

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0051-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0093-01 - SMOKE RANCH JONES PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] TO: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, on 0.53 acres located north of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 150 feet west of Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-14-802-009), PROPOSED USE: FULL AND SELF SERVICE CAR WASH, Ward 6 (Mack) [NEW: Ward 5 (Weekly)]. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and delete Condition # 1 as the general plan amendment was stricken and to amend the application for rezoning from C-2 to C-1 – **UNANIMOUS** with MACK excused

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined by the Current Planning Department that Condition #1 must be deleted since Item 143 [GPA-0051-01] was stricken.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RAPINER CHIMO, 7469 Orange Haze, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0093-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion of Item 143 [GPA-0051-01], Item 144 [Z-0093-01] and Item 145 [Z-0093-01(1)] took place under Item 143 [GPA-0051-01].

(3:15 – 3:20)

5-1216

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-0051-01) to a SC (Service Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0093-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0051-01 AND Z-0093-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0093-01(1) - SMOKE RANCH JONES PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED FULL AND SELF-SERVICE CAR WASH on 0.53 acres located north of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 150 feet west of Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-14-802-009), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 6 (Mack) [NEW: Ward 5 (Weekly)]. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition # 1 to C-1 and the following added condition:

- A Special Use Permit for the self-service car wash shall be required to be heard as a public hearing at the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a building permit.

- UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RAPINER CHIMO, 7469 Orange Haze, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0093-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion of Item 143 [GPA-0051-01], Item 144 [Z-0093-01] and Item 145 [Z-0093-01(1)] took place under Item 143 [GPA-0051-01].

(3:15 – 3:20)

5-1216

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0093-01) to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the elimination of the access aisle along the north property line, to re-site the trash enclosure on the adjacent parcel to the east in a more appropriate location, to depict the car-wash stalls in a manner in which they will not face any street frontages, to depict the locations of any proposed vacuums and drying areas, and to provide one handicap accessible parking space that complies with Title 19A standards.
5. Prior to the submittal for a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 6-foot wide planters along the west and north property lines and 15-foot wide landscape planters along the south property line adjacent to Smoke Ranch Road, with minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory

manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0093-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
13. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-93-01, on this same agenda, and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0007-02 - COUNTY OF CLARK (PARKS AND RECREATION)** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: ML (Medium Low Density Residential) and SC (Service Commercial) TO: PF (Public Facility) on approximately 16.62 acres located adjacent to the southwest corner of Martin L. King Boulevard and Carey Avenue (APN: 139-21-102-011 and 012), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01], and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Regarding Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that there are severe problems in this particular area and residents are very concerned, especially because there have been five deaths related to drive-by shootings. He requested that the item be tabled so that he and COMMISSIONER YVONNE ATKINSON GATES are able to meet with the Metropolitan Police to see how the issues facing this particular area can be addressed. He does not oppose the project because he believes it would be a great addition to the neighborhood, but it does not make sense to begin such a project until all the problems have been adequately addressed. STEVEN GENERAL, Architect, Clark County Real Property Management, concurred with tabling the item.

(1:09 – 1:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0017-02 - GIBBS FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF MIKE HELMER** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on 10.32 acres located adjacent to the south side of Owens Avenue, approximately 660 feet west of Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-30-503-002), Ward 3 (Reese). **NOTE: The request was amended to MLA at the Planning Commission meeting.** The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] be tabled until the applicant can provide a new site plan. He asked that MR. HELMER work with staff, who will put the items back on the agenda once the new site plan is ready.

(1:09 – 1:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0017-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0040-02 - GIBBS FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF MIKE HELMER** - Request for a Rezoning of 10.32 Acres located adjacent to the south side of Owens Avenue, approximately 660 feet west of Lamb Boulevard (APN: 140-30-503-002), From: R-E (Residence Estates) To: R-3 (Medium Density Residential), [PROPOSED USE: 224-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 110 [Z-0071-00(3)], [U-0145-00(2)] and [U-0147-00(2)], Item 112 [U-0081-02], Item 113 [U-0082-02], Item 114 [U-0083-02], Item 133 [U-0167-01, and Item 135 [U-0072-02] to 9/18/2002, Item 117 [V-0037-02], Item 118 [V-0038-02] and Item 119 [U-0073-02] to 9/4/2002, and TABLE Item 130 [U-0029-02], Item 131 [V-0022-02], Item 132 [SD-0014-02], Item 146 [GPA-0007-02], Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that Item 147 [GPA-0017-02] and Item 148 [Z-0040-02] be tabled until the applicant can provide a new site plan. He asked that MR. HELMER work with staff, who will put the items back on the agenda once the new site plan is ready.

(1:09 – 1:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0025-02 - TROP-JONES ON BEHALF OF U.S. HOMES CORPORATION** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on approximately 10 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Jones Boulevard (APN: 125-26-604-005, 006, 010, and 011), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 100, concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation for bringing his items forward. On this particular item, the plan designation along Jones Boulevard is rural, except for those parcels not adjacent to any of the existing homes.

No one appeared on opposition.

There was further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:21 – 1:22)

4-379

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0011-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: ML (Medium Low Density Residential) TO: O (Office) on 10 acres adjacent to the northwest and northeast corners of Campbell Road and Cheyenne Avenue (APN 138-08-401-008, 138-08-401-009, 138-08-401-010, 138-08-401-013), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning & Development Department, explained that this is a result of applications from the City on the third lot. These are projects that were approved by the County prior to annexation into the City. In fact, the lot furthest to the west is still within the County. However, the City does include such parcels into general planning in order to address concerns. The neighbors are concerned with the potential commercial uses being proposed on this site. At the request of COUNCILMAN BROWN'S office, a number of meetings were held in the area where it was determined that office uses would be appropriate. That is the general plan amendment currently before the Council and supported by the Planning Commission.

HAROLD FOSTER, 3230 Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of CARLOS and EMPARO RAGUIDA, who applied for office zoning.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 150 – GPA-0011-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN discussed with MS. WHEELER that there has been mention of a possible application for a mini-storage facility on one of these lots and the potential impact of this rezoning on such an application. MS. WHEELER replied that such an application has not been received, but the possible developer has been included in the ongoing discussions and is aware of these applications.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 150 [GPA-0011-02] and Item 151 [Z-0063-02] took place under Item 150 [GPA-0011-02].

(3:20 – 3:24)

5-1388

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0011-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0063-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: O (Office) on approximately 5.0 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Campbell Road (APN: 138-08-401-008 and 013), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning & Development Department, appeared on behalf of the City of Las Vegas.

HAROLD FOSTER, 3230 Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of CARLOS and EMPARO RAGUIDA, who applied for office zoning.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 151 – Z-0063-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion of Item 150 [GPA-0011-02] and Item 151 [Z-0063-02] took place under Item 150 [GPA-0011-02].

(3:20 – 3:24)

5-1388

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-0011-02) to an O (Office) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. Expunge applications GPA-0008-02 and Z-0022-02.
3. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
4. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0019-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend a portion of the southern boundary of the Centennial Hills Sector Town Center Plan to match the alignment of the proposed S Curve; and to amend the future land use within the revised boundary area FROM: ML (Medium Low Density Residential), L (Low Density Residential), GC (General Commercial) and SC-TC (Service Commercial - Town Center) TO: GC-TC (General Commercial - Town Center), SX-TC (Suburban Mixed Use - Town Center), SC-TC (Service Commercial - Town Center) and PF-TC (Public Facilities - Town Center) on parcels north of the proposed S Curve, south of Centennial Parkway and west of Durango Drive (APN: Multiple), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after the City Council meeting – Copy of the Town Center S-Curve map

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because people with whom he is professionally connected have an interest in this particular action and would be beneficially affected and with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development Department, advised that staff was available to answer any questions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that the history on this action is lengthy and to summarize the matter, pointed out that there has been a lot of dialogue regarding appropriate land use at this time. There has been significant community input before today's Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 152 – GPA-0019-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN specifically made a comment for the record with regard to the rezoning application that what is being encouraged is for someone in the private sector to come in, assemble a large portion of the available land and master plan it to avoid piecemeal development. This is the backdoor of Town Center and was never designated on any early maps as a commercial corridor. Therefore, the land use may be appropriate today but may change based on circumstances and infrastructure out there in the future. With regard to the S Curve, it was designed so that originally Durango and Tropical would have a traffic signal and move traffic into the Centennial Hills Shopping Center. Following the curve to the north, there is a loop road that returns to the Durango alignment where there will be a second signalized area. Ultimately, moving north, traffic will enter the beltway at El Capitan. Signals will be installed at that entrance as well as the Centennial intersection. This was designed because of the proximity to the US 95 interchange. The purpose of the S Curve is to move traffic as quickly as possible from Durango and Tropical into the beltway alignment and keep minimum speeds of 42-43 miles per hour. This was designed as a transportation corridor with no intent for egress into the back of the parcels just rezoned.

He has been lobbied for street cuts to modify the corridor, but he strongly supports its usage as a transportation corridor. Town Center, the County half-acre custom lots and civic space should not access such a corridor. This is the case at this time. Should circumstances change, the purpose of the corridor may also change. However, anyone speculating on individual parcels and planning on egress, needs to be aware of his position. This has been a totally residential area where commercial is now being added with buffering to protect the residents.

MR. GENZER clarified that the 330-foot area designated along the north side of the S Curve was originally part of the proposal and would have been restricted to no more than two-story office uses. That portion of the use was denied by the Planning Commission and not included in this application before the Council. COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MR. GENZER to enter the map into the record and demonstrate on that map the location of Centennial, which is the appropriate access corridor for Town Center under the original intent, barring a future master planned project that creates the referenced change in circumstances.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion of Item 152 [GPA-0019-02] and Item 153 [Z-0043-02] took place under Item 152 [GPA-0019-02].

(3:24 – 3:34)

5-1530

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0019-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0043-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] and U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial) TO: TC (Town Center), (APN: Multiple), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because people with whom he is professionally connected have an interest in this particular action and would be beneficially affected and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 152 [GPA-0019-02] and Item 153 [Z-0043-02] took place under Item 152 [GPA-0019-02].

(3:24 – 3:34)

5-1530

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – Z-0043-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All parcels associated with this application shall go direct to Ordinance request.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0022-02 - PENTECOSTAL TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST** - Request to amend a portion of the West Las Vegas Plan FROM: M (Medium Density Residential) TO: PF (Public Facility) on approximately 2.9 acres north of Adams, east of "G" Street (APN: 139-27-201-001, 139-27-210-008, 009, 010, 030, 031, 032, 033, 034, 044, 049, and 072), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****14****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LEON SMITH, 8520 Highland, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, questioned whether the property would be a parking lot. MR. SMITH responded that a portion will be a parking lot and the property to be rezoned will include a senior citizens center and, in the future, a youth recreation center in the other area. MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed that the senior citizens portion of the project will be for housing. MS. TURNER protested the conversion of residential property into parking lots. If a church grows to where there is not sufficient parking, they need to move. Parking lots do not provide security or revenue for the community as a whole.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 154 – GPA-0022-02

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked about the status of the West Las Vegas Committee's proposals. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY answered that the plan has been drafted, but the problem is with uses approved many years ago. This applicant is taking the initiative to do something with the property. Even just paving and striping the lot is an improvement. The West Las Vegas Plan does address a portion of this, but it is difficult to rezone abutting churches. MR. FARLOW added that the church was originally built in 1941 and congregants walked to church. He concurred that when residential property is converted for church use, it removes revenue necessary for infrastructure needs. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY agreed, but there are already 25 churches within a 2-mile geographical area.

DAN CONTREARAS, Bonanza Village, requested that the landscaping standards not be waived and the lights installed stay on for security of the residents living in the area.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commended this church for their vision. It is not the commercial use many would wish for to generate revenue, but they are making a reasonable effort for the community.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 154 [GPA-0022-02], Item 155 [Z-0047-02] and Item 156 [Z-0047-02(1)] took place under Item 154 [GPA-0022-02].

(3:34 – 3:43)

5-1883

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0022-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0047-02** - **PENTECOSTAL TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), and R-3 (Medium Density Residential) TO: C-V (Civic) on approximately 2.9 acres north of Adams, east of "G" Street (APN: 139-27-201-001, 139-27-210-008, 009, 010, 030, 031, 032, 033, 034, 044, 049, and 072), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

14
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LEON SMITH, 8520 Highland, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 154 [GPA-0022-02], Item 155 [Z-0047-02] and Item 156 [Z-0047-02(1)] took place under Item 154 [GPA-0022-02].

(3:34 – 3:43)

5-1883

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – Z-0047-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment by the City Council to a PF (Public Facility) land use designation for the subject parcels.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. The application shall be amended to delete APN: 139-27-210-072 from the Rezoning request.
4. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

5. Dedicate a 20 foot radius on the northwest corner of Madison Avenue and “F” Street, a 20 foot radius at the southwest corner of Madison Avenue and “F” Street, a 20 foot radius at the northwest corner of Jefferson Avenue and “F” Street, a 20 foot radius at the southeast corner of Madison Avenue and “F” Street, a 20 foot radius at the southeast corner of Madison Avenue and “G” Street, and a 20 foot radius at the northeast corner of Jefferson Avenue and “G” Street prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the necessary documentation.
6. Construct full-width alley paving adjacent to each of the parcels comprising this overall site concurrent with onsite development activities. Construction of alley improvements may be phased to coincide with the phased development of these parcels; final construction requirements shall be determined at the time of approval of individual site development plan reviews.
7. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with onsite development activities.
8. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on “F” Street, “G” Street, Jefferson Avenue, and Madison Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with onsite development activities.
9. Submit appropriate Encroachment Agreements for all landscaping and private improvements located in the “F” Street, “G” Street, Jefferson Avenue and Madison Avenue public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site as each parcel develops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – Z-0047-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study for all parcels contained in this Rezoning Request must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RELATED TO GPA-0022-02 AND Z-0047-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0047-02(1) - PENTECOSTAL TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Required On-site Landscaping FOR AN OFF-SITE PARKING LOT on 0.33 acres at 612 and 616 Madison Avenue (APN: 139-27-210-008 and 009), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

14

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LEON SMITH, 8520 Highland, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion of Item 154 [GPA-0022-02], Item 155 [Z-0047-02] and Item 156 [Z-0047-02(1)] took place under Item 154 [GPA-0022-02].

(3:34 – 3:43)

5-1883

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 156 – Z-0047-02(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval by the City Council of a General Plan Amendment to a PF (Public Facility) land use designation and a Rezoning to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The applicant shall meet with Planning and Development staff and a Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the redesign of the parking lot layout, on-site circulation and driveway access prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to provide a minimum fifteen-foot wide landscape planter along Madison Avenue; an eight-foot wide landscape planter along the west (side) property line; and a five-foot wide landscape planter along the north (rear) property line. All landscape planters shall consist of 24-inch box trees spaced twenty feet on center and appropriate shrub and groundcover as required by the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
6. The applicant shall construct a six-foot tall decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the west property line where no block wall exists, utilizing Title 19A standards for the adjacent zoning district for wall heights. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated. If it is determined the existing conditions on the property adjacent to the west property line of the subject site, deem the six-foot tall decorative block wall to be impracticable; the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff for assistance in determining a mutually acceptable alternative to the block wall requirement.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 156 – Z-0047-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. Construct full-width alley paving adjacent to this site and extend the paving eastward to “F” Street and construct sidewalk ramps on the north and south side of the alley at “F” Street concurrent with development of this site.
12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-47-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0024-02 - ETOR 1981 TRUST ON BEHALF OF GUS MERHI** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on approximately 3.1 acres on the east side of Rancho Drive approximately 1,130 feet south of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 138-02-102-007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and thanked COUNCILMEN MACK and BROWN and their staff for their involvement. MR. MORENO explained that there was a small problem with the interlocal agreement, but it has now been resolved. Clark County will be rehearing this item on their September 4th agenda. The request is for C-2 because the C-1 buffer between their property and the residents is adequate for the use. MR. MORENO presented a design of the property, depicting an upscale project that will enhance the area and will set a new standard for development in this particular area. He concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed concern about rezoning for used car sales as they can become a problem years from now. These requests should be subject to similar required reviews like that for billboards. He suggested an ordinance to consider yearly or five-year reviews.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 157 – GPA-0024-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the C-1 zoning adjacent to the subject property provides a good buffer. In response to MR. FARLOW'S concern, COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that the used car dealers on Rancho Drive are being held to the same standards as the new car dealers; they are closed on Sundays, no balloons or apes as a form of advertising.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 157 [GPA-0024-02], Item 158 [Z-0049-02], Item 159 [U-0076-02] and Item 160 [Z-0049-02(1)] was held under Item 157 [GPA-0024-02].

(1:22 – 1:30)

4-416

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0024-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0049-02 - ETOR 1981 TRUST ON BEHALF OF GUS MERHI** - Request for Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 1,130 feet south of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 138-02-102-007), PROPOSED USE: USED AUTO SALES, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 157 [GPA-0024-02], Item 158 [Z-0049-02], Item 159 [U-0076-02] and Item 160 [Z-0049-02(1)] was held under Item 157 [GPA-0024-02].

(1:22 – 1:30)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 158 – Z-0049-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-0024-02) to a GC (General Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Grant a 20 foot wide public sewer easement along the eastern edge of this property and provide necessary off-site sewer easements to connect this site to the existing sewer line in Torrey Pines Drive prior to the submittal of sewer related construction drawings or the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Nevada Department of Transportation and a copy submitted for review to the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Include a section addressing the test drive patterns proposed from this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic

Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are
or

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 158 – Z-0049-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site.
8. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements (driveways) in the Rancho Drive public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0024-02 AND Z-0049-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0076-02 - ETOR 1981 TRUST ON BEHALF OF GUS MERHI** - Appeal filed by Moreno and Associates on behalf of Etor 1981 Trust from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR USED MOTOR VEHICLE SALES on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 1,130 feet south of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 138-02-102-007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Moreno and Associates, Inc.

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 157 [GPA-0024-02], Item 158 [Z-0049-02], Item 159 [U-0076-02] and Item 160 [Z-0049-02(1)] was held under Item 157 [GPA-0024-02].

(1:22 – 1:30)

4-416

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 159 – U-0076-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be null and void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The installation and use of an outside public address or bell system shall be prohibited.
3. All exterior lighting shall be screened or otherwise designed so as not to shine directly onto any adjacent parcel of land.
4. Openings in service bays shall not face public rights-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
5. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.
6. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building. Vehicles displayed, stored or sold, or exchanged from the subject property shall have been manufactured within the last five (5) model years of the display, storage, sale or exchange date. Customer and employee vehicles, classic or collector vehicles (defined as any motor vehicle, including trucks, that is at least twenty (20) model years old, or any motor vehicle of a defunct make), or any vehicle displayed inside the building shall be exempt from this limitation.
7. No temporary special events signs such as banners, pennants, inflatable objects (other than small balloons), streamers, flags, strobe lights or other similar attention gaining item or devices shall be displayed upon the subject property or a vehicle displayed for sale in the parking lot of the subject property.
8. The used car dealership shall not operate on Sundays.
9. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0024-02, U-0076-02 AND Z-0049-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0049-02(1) - ETOR 1981 TRUST ON BEHALF OF GUS MERHI** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction of the On-site Landscape Requirements FOR A USED VEHICLE SALES LOT on approximately 3.1 acres on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 1,130 feet south of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 138-02-102-007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 157 [GPA-0024-02], Item 158 [Z-0049-02], Item 159 [U-0076-02] and Item 160 [Z-0049-02(1)] was held under Item 157 [GPA-0024-02].

(1:22 – 1:30)

4-416

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 160 – Z-0049-02(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning [Z-0049-02] to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 160 – Z-0049-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a and shall also meet Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) standards.
14. Loading or unloading of vehicles shall be done on-site only, there shall be no vehicles or transports allowed to park within the public right-of-way along Rancho Drive for either display or delivery purposes.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-49-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0027-02 - LOG CABIN WAY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF GREYSTONE HOMES** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and PF (Public Facilities) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on 51.5 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-01-301-009 and 125-01-401-001), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Support letter from Beverly A. Blaskey

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARMSTRONG, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and noted that BEVERLY BLASKEY sent a letter indicating her support. He verified for MAYOR GOODMAN that the interior project will have only one electric coach-style light and that the exterior main-street lighting will be consistent with the Iron Mountain Ranch standards. In fact, CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, read into the record two added conditions that would address those concerns. These two conditions would be imposed on zoning Item 162 [Z-0051-02].

COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed with MS. EDELMAN that the requirement of the Master Plan of Streets and Highways is addressed in zoning Item 162 [Z-0051-02] under Condition #4.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 161 – GPA-0027-02

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, recommended and read into the record two conditions relative to the trails to be added to Item 163 [Z-0051-02(1)]. MR. ARMSTRONG concurred with all added conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 161 [GPA-0027-02], Item 162 [Z-0051-02] and Item 163 [Z-0051-02(1)] was held under Item 161 [GPA-0027-02].

(1:30 – 1:35)

4-650

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0027-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0051-02 - LOG CABIN WAY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF GREYSTONE HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) on 51.5 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-01-301-009 and 125-01-401-001), [PROPOSED USE: 172-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Support letter from Beverly A. Blaskey

MOTION:

MAC K – APPROVED subject to conditions with the following added conditions –

- A deviation from City Street Lighting Standards shall be allowed on all perimeter public streets to allow the streetlights to be the same style fixtures as used in Summerlin; however, the lighting shall meet City Standard spacing requirements as required by the Department of Public Works.
- All proposed interior private street lighting shall be electric “coach style” lights. All private streetlights shall be privately maintained by the Homeowner’s Association.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARMSTRONG, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 162 – Z-0051-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 161 [GPA-0027-02], Item 162 [Z-0051-02] and Item 163 [Z-0051-02(1)] was held under Item 161 [GPA-0027-02].

(1:30 – 1:35)

4-650

CONDITONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Submit a Vacation Application to vacate Leon Avenue; such vacation application shall be acted upon by the City Council prior to the approval of a Tentative Map for this site and the Order of Vacation shall record prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying this site. The Vacation Application shall show how all the affected parcels, particularly APN: 125-01-402-006, will continue to have serviceable legal access. If the vacation for Leon Avenue is not approved, the Tentative Map for this site shall show 30 feet of right of way offered for dedication, as well as appropriate radii.
4. Dedicate or obtain dedication for appropriate right-of-way for up to the full 120 foot width of Moccasin Road adjacent to this site, unless an amendment to the Master Plan of Streets and Highways is approved by City Council allowing a lesser width. Also, dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Gilbert Avenue, 40 feet for Jones Boulevard, 40 feet for Iron Mountain Road, a 25 foot radius at the northeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road with an appropriate traffic signal chord easement, and a 20 foot radius at the southeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Gilbert Avenue. Additional right-of-way for Standard Drawings #201.1 may be required if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 162 – Z-0051-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Gilbert Avenue, Iron Mountain Road, Moccasin Road, Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct half-street improvements on Leon Avenue if the Vacation Application for Leon Avenue is not approved. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section to determine appropriate alignments for public sewer service to this site and provide a public sewer stub to the west edge of this site in an alignment and to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify

or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 162 – Z-0051-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0027-02 AND Z-0051-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0051-02(1) - LOG CABIN WAY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF GREYSTONE HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 172-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 51.5 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Jones Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-01-301-009 and 125-01-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Support letter from Beverly A. Blaskey

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- The applicant shall construct a 20-foot wide equestrian trail adjacent to Iron Mountain Road (outside of the public street right-of-way) in conformance to City Standards. The homeowners association must maintain the trail until such time as it is accepted by the City.
- All utility boxes, regardless of size shall be located as to not impair the use of the trails along Iron Mountain Road or Moccasin Road.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARMSTRONG, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0051-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 161 [GPA-0027-02], Item 162 [Z-0051-02] and Item 163 [Z-0051-02(1)] was held under Item 161 [GPA-0027-02].

(1:30 – 1:35)

4-650

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0051-02) to an R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The applicant shall construct a twenty-foot (20') wide non-equestrian trail adjacent to the Moccasin Road frontage.
4. All proposed street names shall be in accordance with the City of Las Vegas Street Naming and Address Assignment Regulations, ordinance # 3744.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. The setbacks for this development shall be as follows: minimum of 20 feet to the front of the garage and 15 feet to the front of house, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 20 feet in the rear.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0051-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. This site plan shall be revised to comply with the dedication requirements of Z-0051-02, if this revision is determined by staff to substantially alter the character of the site plan a new site plan shall be submitted for Planning Commission review.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All gated access drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
15. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
16. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 163 – Z-0051-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-51-02 and all other applicable site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0017-90(38) - PECCOLE 1982 TRUST 45 ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART - Appeal filed by EKN Engineering on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 202,722 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL BUILDING on 18.30 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Way (APN: 163-06-416-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

**Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting**

211
874

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

**Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting**

1387
90

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from EKN Engineering
5. Protest letters from Pamela F. Cherney, William K. Owens and Louie Akers
6. Submitted after final agenda – Sixteen protest letters
7. Submitted after final agenda – Two support letters
8. Submitted to the City Clerk's Office petition with 88 support and 432 protest as submitted by Councilwoman McDonald's Office
9. Submitted to the City Clerk's Office on 8/21/02 protest petition with 431 signatures listed by the Peccole Ranch Community Association
10. Received after City Council meeting – Three protest letters

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Accepted the WITHDRAWAL WITH PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 164 – Z-0017-90(38)

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open and made a record that there will be sign language interpreters, JANETTE SCHOFIELD, 1320 Dorothy Avenue, and TERRI SELLERS, 510 South Fourth Street #A, available for this portion of the proceedings.

APPEARANCES:

TOM SKANCKE, appeared on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores and Peccole Nevada
CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:03 – 4:09)

5-2480

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 2609 GILMARY AVENUE, DB 3101 AZTEC AVENUE, DB 1013 S 11TH STREET, DB 608 BYRNES AVENUE, U-0086-02, U-0089-02, U-00909-02, U-0091-02, U-0092-02, U-0093-02, U-0094-02, U-0095-02, U-0096-02, V-0032-02, V-0041-02, V-0043-02, V-0045-02, V-0046-02, V-0048-02, VAC-0051-02, VAC-0054-02, VAC-0055-02, VAC-0056-02 – 9/4/2002 AGENDA

RECESSED: 3:43 – 4:03 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 21, 2002

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, stated that her community has become a battle ground and it will take everyone coming together and becoming involved to correct this situation. Parents are going to have to take control of what happens in their homes. Until the area has been cleaned up, she cannot support a new park. It is not right for parents to bury their children, but it has become an every other day type of thing.

(4:09 – 4:12)

5-2788

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commended the renovation of City Hall, but urged the Council to put the fountain back in the rotunda. With the reduction in revenue, he questioned whether the Mayor has contacted Colorado regarding the water use issue.

(4:12 – 4:13)

5-2955

RALPH IANTOSKA, 2100 Gravel Haul Street #202, thanked the Council and Planning Commission for looking after the citizens of Las Vegas. He lives in the Stoneridge condominiums next to the proposed Wal-Mart Store and he went on the record to say that while Wal-Mart could care less about people, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD always watches over her constituents. He believes that Wal-Mart will just try to slip their application through at a future date, but it will be over his dead body.

(4:13 – 4:14)

5-3000

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati, pointed out that Wal-Mart acts as the Big Brother for 27,000,000 underprivileged children throughout the United States. Given that fact, he takes offense at the comments made by the last speaker. He compared the impact of the Palace Station Hotel & Casino versus this Wal-Mart application. Wal-Mart comes in to be part of the community and when they are called to help out, they respond. The same thing cannot be said about organizations like Palace Station and other hotel/casinos.

(4:12 – 4:13)

5-3034

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 21, 2002
Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

DARLENE LaDARA, 2201 Marble Porch Drive, requested clarification regarding MR. SKANCKE'S comments and withdrawal. MAYOR GOODMAN explained the impact of a withdrawal with prejudice is that the applicant cannot file anything substantially similar within a one-year time period.

(4:12 – 4:13)

5-3155

MAYOR GOODMAN commended everyone who took the time to participate in the process by attending the Council meeting regardless of what position they held.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:17 P.M.